2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

SAMUEL C. TAYLOR (State Bar No. 086580) TODD BENOFF (State Bar No. 192983) ELIZABETH A. SPERLING (State Bar No. 231474) CASSANDRA HOOKS (State Bar No. 244471) FILED

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT ALSTON & BIRD LLP **JUN 1 1 2010** 333 South Hope Street **Suite 1600** Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 576-1000
Facsimile: (213) 576-1100
Email: Sam.Taylor@alston.com
Todd.Benoff@alston.com ENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORMIA Elizabeth.Sperling@alston.com Cassandra. Hooks@alston.com Attorneys for Defendants Electrolux Home Products, Inc., erroneously sued herein as Electrolux Major Appliances North America, Electrolux North America, Inc., and Frigidaire; and Sears, Roebuck and Co. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII, AND KAYDEN ISHII, A MINOR, BY AND THROUGH HIS GUARDIAN AD LITEM, REUBEN ISHII, AND REUBEN ISHII AS SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST OF KAYLEE ISHII, DECEASED, Plaintiff, v. SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., SEARS

SASCN.10-00832 JVS (RND)

DEFENDANTS ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC. AND SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO.'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1441

Filing date: April 19, 2010

Defendant.

DOES 1 to 100, inclusive,

HOLDINGS CORPORATION, FRIGIDAIRE, ELECTROLUX MAJOR APPLIANCES NORTH AMERICA, and

3 4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

TO THE CLERK OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sections 1441 and 1446, Defendants Electrolux Home Products, Inc., erroneously sued herein as Electrolux Major Appliances North America, Electrolux North America, Inc., and Frigidaire ("Electrolux") and Sears, Roebuck and Co. ("Sears") (collectively, "Defendants"), by and through their counsel of record, hereby remove the above-titled action from the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Orange, where the above-titled action was filed, to the United States District Court for the Central District of California. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1332.

As grounds for this removal, Defendants demonstrate to the Court as follows:

TIMELINESS OF REMOVAL

- 1. On April 19, 2010, Plaintiffs Reuben Ishii, Mayumi Ishii, Kayden Ishii, a minor, by and through his Guardian ad Litem, Reuben Ishii, and Reuben Ishii as Successor in Interest of Kaylee Ishii, deceased ("Plaintiffs"), filed this wrongful death action in the Orange County Superior Court, Ishii v. Sears, Roebuck, and Co., et al., Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2010-00364742 ("State Court Action"). A true and correct copy of the Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit A. It is also attached to the concurrently filed Appendix of State Court Proceedings as Exhibit 1.
- 2. In their Complaint, Plaintiffs allege causes of action for (1) wrongful death, (2) product liability - negligence/wrongful death, (3) strict products liability/wrongful death, (4) breach of expressed warranty/wrongful death, (5) breach of implied warranty/wrongful death, (6) false representation/wrongful death, (7) negligent infliction of emotional distress, (8) product liability – negligence/survivor action, (9) strict products liability/survivor action, (10) breach of expressed warranty/survivor action, (11) breach of implied warranty/survivor action, (12) false

representation/survivor action, and (13) punitive damages. [See generally, Complaint, Ex. A.]

- 3. On May 13, 2010, Plaintiffs served Sears, via CT Corporation System in Los Angeles, California, its statutory agent for service of process, with a Summons and Complaint. The Summons and Complaint on Sears is attached as **Exhibit A**.
- 4. On May 20, 2010, Plaintiffs served Electrolux's counsel, via United States Mail, with a Summons and Complaint with Notices of Acknowledgment and requests for waiver of service for Electrolux North America, Inc. and Frigidaire. The Summons and Complaint with Notices of Acknowledgment were received by United States Mail by Electrolux's counsel on May 24, 2010. A true and correct copy of the Summons and Complaint and Notices of Acknowledgment to Electrolux are attached as **Exhibit A**.
- 5. Accordingly, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b), this Notice is timely filed within thirty (30) days after the first defendant's (Sears) receipt of the Summons and Complaint setting forth the causes of action upon which the above-captioned action is based.

VENUE

6. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441(a), 1446(a), and 84(c)(3) because the United States District Court for the Central District of California is the federal judicial district embracing the Orange County Superior Court where the State Court Action was originally filed.

DIVERSITY JURISDICTION

7. This is a civil action over which this Court has original jurisdiction, and one which Defendants may remove to this Court, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(a) and 1441(b), in that the matter in controversy exceeds the sum of \$75,000.00, exclusive of interests and costs, and is between citizens of different

States.

- 8. <u>Plaintiffs' Citizenship</u>. Plaintiffs are individuals whose residence and domicile are in Orange County, California, and are citizens of the State of California. [See Complaint at ¶ 1.]
- 9. <u>Defendants' Citizenship</u>. Defendant Sears, Roebuck and Co. is a corporation organized, incorporated, and existing under the laws of the State of New York, with its principal place of business in Hoffman Estates, Illinois. [See Complaint at ¶ 2.] Therefore, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1), Sears, Roebuck and Co. is a citizen of the State of New York and of the State of Illinois.
- 10. Defendant Sears Holdings Corporation is a corporation organized, incorporated, and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in Hoffman Estates, Illinois. [See Complaint at ¶ 2.] Therefore, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1), Sears Holdings Corporation is a citizen of the State of Delaware and of the State of Illinois.
- 11. Defendant Electrolux Home Products, Inc. is a corporation organized, incorporated, and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in the State of Georgia. Therefore, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1), Electrolux is a citizen of the State of Delaware and of the State of Georgia.
- 12. Frigidaire is a registered trade name of Electrolux. Its business records were filed in the State of Ohio. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a), for purposes of removal, "the citizenship of defendants sued under fictitious names shall be disregarded." Accordingly, the citizenship of Frigidaire is disregarded for purposes of the diversity of citizenship analysis. See Sennex, Inc. v. Prather, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 25632, 6-7 (W.D. Pa. Mar. 31, 2008) (disregarding the citizenship of a trade name for the purposes of removal); Andry v. Audubon Ins. Co., 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 93398 (E.D. La. Dec. 27, 2006) ("a trade name . . . cannot be considered for the purposes of diversity jurisdiction.").

13. <u>DOES' Citizenship</u>. Plaintiffs also name DOES 1 to 100, inclusive, as parties to this action. It is well-established that "the citizenship of defendants sued under fictitious names shall be disregarded" for purposes of removal. 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a). Therefore, the citizenship of DOES 1 to 100 is also disregarded for purposes of the diversity of citizenship analysis in the present matter.

14. Accordingly, there exists complete diversity of citizenship in this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a) because Plaintiffs are citizens of the State of California and Defendants are citizens of the States of New York, Illinois, Delaware and Georgia. All named defendants consent to this removal.

AMOUNT IN CONTROVERSY

15. The amount in controversy in this action, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds \$75,000. According to Plaintiffs' Statements of Damages, served with the Summons and Complaint, plaintiff Reuben Ishii seeks \$2,000,000.00 in general damages for loss of society and companionship and \$15,000.00 for funeral expenses from Defendants; plaintiff Mayumi Ishii seeks general damages of \$5,000,000.00 for emotional distress and \$2,000,000.00 for loss of society and companionship, and \$15,000.00 for funeral expenses from Defendants; plaintiff Kayden Ishii seeks general damages of \$500,000.00 for emotional distress and \$200,000.00 for loss of society and companionship from Defendants; and plaintiff Kaylee Ishii, by and through her successor in interest, Reuben Ishii, seeks \$100,000.00 in medical expenses as well as unspecified punitive damages from Defendants. True and correct copies of Plaintiffs' Statements of Damages are attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

16. Accordingly, based on the combination of alleged general damages, funeral and burial expenses, medical expenses, monetary damages for the loss of the decedent's companionship, and unspecified punitive damages that are sought in the Complaint, the amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional minimum of \$75,000 set forth in 29 U.S.C. § 1332(a).

2

3

4 5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

REMOVAL IS PROPER

- This Court, therefore, has original jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 17. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a), and removal of this action to this Court is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441. Accordingly, this Action is properly removed to this Court pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446.
- 18. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), all process, pleadings, and orders served upon Defendants in the State Court Action are attached to this Notice as Exhibit A and are incorporated herein by reference.
- Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), Defendants have filed this Notice with 19. this Court and are serving a true and correct copy of this Notice of Removal upon counsel for all parties, and are filing a copy of this Notice in the Orange County Superior Court.

RESERVATION OF DEFENSES

- Defendants reserve the right to amend or supplement this Notice of 20. Removal.
 - 21. Defendants reserve all defenses and counterclaims.

/// ///

CONCLUSION WHEREFORE, for the reasons set forth above, Defendants request that this Court exercise full jurisdiction over this action as provided by law. DATED: June 11, 2010 SAMUEL C. TAYLOR
TODD BENOFF
ELIZABETH A. SPERLING
CASSANDRA HOOKS
ALSTON & BIRD LLP Attorneys for Defendants

Electrolux Home/Products, Inc., erroneously sued herein as Electrolux Major Appliances
North America, Electrolux North America, Inc., and Frigidaire; and Sears, Roebuck and Co.

EXHIBIT A

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO): SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, FRIGIDAIRE. Additional Parties Attachment form is attached

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII, KAYDÉN ISHII, A MINOR, BY AND THROUGH HIS GUARDIAN AD LITEM, REUBEN ISHII,

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER APR 19 2010 ALAN CARLSON, Clerk of the Coun R_LUCEY OBPUTY. Additional Parties Attachment form is attached

SUM-100

FOR COURT USE ONLY COLOPARA (ISO DE LA CORTE)

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts. Online Seif-Help Center (www.courtinfo.co.gov/selfne/p), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clark for a fee walver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.countinfo.ca.gow/seithelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. IAVISOI Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, le corte puede decidir en su contre sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales pera presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una liamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesan su caso en la corto. En posible que haya un formulario que ustad pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más informeción en el Centro de Ayuda de las Codes de California (www.aucoda.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que lo quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cueta de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cucles. Si no presente su respueste a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueido, dinero y bienes sin más edvertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame e un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede tiamer e un servicio de remisión e abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumple con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sín fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el silió web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclemar les cuotas y los costos exentos por Imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje emargas de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

אסקטר כר קודטיפורופיו של ווי וויייייייייייייייייייייייייייי	Oc dag 12 costa bagga accominat out		<u> </u>	
The name and address of the cou (Et nombre y direction de la corte SUPERIOR COURT OF CA CENTRAL JUSTICE CEN'	os): ALIFORNIA, COUNTY C TER	FORANGE JUDGE	₩364742 DAVID T. MCI	
700 CIVIC CENTER DRIV The name, address, and telephon (El nombre, la dirección y el núme Kevin L. Elder, Esq. (Bar	le number of plaintiffs attorney, o Pro de teléfono del abogado del o	or plaintiff without an attorney, i	is: DEPT. C21 e que no tiene abogado Fax No.: (916	
Penney & Associates, 6536	Lonetree Boulevard, Rock		Phone No.: (916)	786-7662
DATE: (Fecha) APR 1 9 2011	AN CARLSON	Clerk, by R: (Secretario)	LUCEY	, Deputy (Adjunto)
(For proof of service of this summ (Para prueba de entrega de esta c	citatión use el formulario Proof of IOTICE TO THE PERSON SER' as an individual defenda	Service of Summons, (POS-0 VED: You are served ant.	 	
3	on behalf of (specify):	SEARS, ROE	Buck AND	co.
	under: CCP 416.10 (cc	orporation) ifunct corporation) sociation or partnership)	CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conserved) CCP 416.90 (authorized)	-
	by personal delivery on	(date): (5) 13/19		Page 1 of 1

	30W-200(A
SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
ISHII v. SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO.	
INSTRUCTIONS FOR US This form may be used as an attachment to any summons if space does no If this attachment is used, insert the following statement in the plaintiff or de Attachment form is attached."	t permit the listing of all parties on the summons,
List additional parties (Check only one box. Use a separate page for each ty	pe of party.);
Plaintiff X Defendant Cross-Complainant Cr	oss-Defendant
	OG-DBIGHARIE

Page 2 of 3

Page 1 of 1

	SUM-200(A)
SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
ISHII v. SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO.	
INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE → This form may be used as an attachment to any summons if space does not pour things attachment is used, insert the following statement in the plaintiff or defer Attachment form is attached."	
List additional parties (Check only one box. Use a separate page for each type	of party.):
X Plaintiff Defendant Cross-Complainant Cross-AND REUBEN ISHII AS SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST OF KAYLE	s-Defendant BE ISHII, DECEASED

्रास्त्री अध्यक्षको

Page <u>3</u> of <u>3</u>

Page 1 of 1

POS-015 FOR COURT USE ONLY ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): (Bar # 148034) Kevin L. Elder, Esq. Penney & Associates 6536 Lonetree Boulevard Rocklin, CA 95765 TELEPHONE NO.: (916) 786-7662 FAX NO. (Optional): (916) 786-0144 E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiffs REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII, et al. SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE STREET ADDRESS: 700 CIVIC CENTER DRIVE WEST MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: SANTA ANA 92702-2014 BRANCH NAME: CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII, et al. DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., et al. CASE NUMBER: NOTICE AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT—CIVIL 30-2010-000364742

TO (insert name of party being served): ELECTROLUX MAJOR APPLIANCES NORTH AMERICA

NOTICE

The summons and other documents identified below are being served pursuant to section 415.30 of the California Code of Civil Procedure. Your failure to complete this form and return it within 20 days from the date of mailing shown below may subject you (or the party on whose behalf you are being served) to liability for the payment of any expenses incurred in serving a summons on you in any other manner permitted by law.

If you are being served on behalf of a corporation, an unincorporated association (including a partnership), or other entity, this form must be signed by you in the name of such entity or by a person authorized to receive service of process on behalf of such entity. In all other cases, this form must be signed by you personally or by a person authorized by you to acknowledge receipt of summons. If you return this form to the sender, service of a summons is deemed complete on the day you sign the acknowledgment of receipt below.

Date of mailing: May 20, 2010

Diane D. Williams

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF SENDER—MUST NOT BE A PARTY IN THIS CASE)

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT

This acknowledges receipt of (to be completed by sender before mailing):

1. X A copy of the summons and of the complaint.

2. X Other (specify):

Declaration of Reuben Ishii, Successor in Interest of Kayley Ishii, Deceased; Alternative Dispute Resolution Information Packet; Civil Department Calendar Scheduling Chart; Statement of

Damages as to each plaintiff.

(To be completed by recipient):

Date this form is signed: July 4, 2010

Elizabeth A. Sperling, Attorneys for (TYPE OR PRINTYOUR NAME AND NAME OF ENTITY, IF ANY, ON WHOSE BEHALF THIS FORM IS SIGNED)

(see attached)

(STANTING OF PERSON ACKNOWLEDING RECEIPT, WITH TITLE IF CKNOWLEDGMENT IS WADE ON BEHALF OF ANOTHER PERSON OR ENTITY)

Page 1 of 1

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California POS-015 [Rev. January 1, 2005]

NOTICE AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT — CIVIL

Code of Civil Procedure, §§ 415.30, 417.10 www.countrifo.ca.gov Attorney for:
Electrolux Home Products, Inc.
erroneously sued herein as Frigidaire,
Electrolux Major Appliances North America,
and Electrolux North America, Inc.

	POS-015
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): Kevin L. Elder, Esq. (Bar # 148034)	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Penney & Associates 6536 Lonetree Boulevard Rocklin, CA 95765	
TELEPHONE NO.: (916) 786-7662 FAX NO. (Optional): (91	6) 786-0144
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiffs REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI IS	HII, et al.
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE STREET ADDRESS: 700 CIVIC CENTER DRIVE WEST MAILING ADDRESS:	
CITY AND ZIP CODE: SANTA ANA 92702-2014 BRANCH NAME: CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII,	et al.
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., et	······································
NOTICE AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT	CASE NUMBER: 30-2010-000364742
TO (insert name of party being served): FRIGIDAIRE	
NOTICE	,
The summons and other documents identified below are being served Procedure. Your failure to complete this form and return it within 20 da (or the party on whose behalf you are being served) to liability for the pon you in any other manner permitted by law.	sys from the date of mailing shown below may subject you
if you are being served on behalf of a corporation, an unincorporated a form must be signed by you in the name of such entity or by a person entity. In all other cases, this form must be signed by you personally o summons. If you return this form to the sender, service of a summons acknowledgment of receipt below.	authorized to receive service of process on behalf of such r by a person authorized by you to acknowledge receipt of
Date of mailing: May 20, 2010	Carl Wall
Diane D. Williams (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	SIGNATURE OF SENDER—MUST NOT BE A PARTY IN THIS CASE)
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	OF RECEIPT
This acknowledges receipt of <i>(to be completed by sender before me</i> 1. X A copy of the summons and of the complaint. 2. X Other (specify):	ailing):
Declaration of Reuben Ishii, Successor in Interest Resolution Information Packet; Civil Department Damages as to each plaintiff.	
(To be completed by recipient):	
Date this form is signed: JWL 4 (7010	
Elizabeth A. Sperling, Attorneys for	
(TYPE OR PRINT YOUR NAME AND NAME OF ENTITY, IF ANY, ON WHOSE BEHALF THIS FORM IS SIGNED)	(SUNATURE OF PERSON AGRINOWLEDGING RECEIPT, WITH TITLE IF ACKNOWLEDGINENT IS MADE ON BEHALF OF ANOTHER PERSON OR ENTITY)
(see attached)	

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California POS-015 [Rev. January 1, 2005]

NOTICE AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT - CIVIL

Code of Civil Procedure, §§ 415.30, 417.10 www.courlinfo.ca.gov

LexisNexis® Automated California Judicial Council Forms

Attorney for: Electrolux Home Products, Inc. erroneously sued herein as Frigidaire, Electrolux Major Appliances North America, and Electrolux North America, Inc.

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, FRIGIDAIRE.

Additional Parties Attachment form is attached

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII, KAYDEN ISHII, A MINOR, BY AND THROUGH HIS GUARDIAN AD LITEM, REUBEN ISHII.

Additional Parties Attachment form is attached.

SUM-100

FOR COURT USE ONLY

(SQLOPPRAYED BELLA CORTE)

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF ORANGE
CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER

APR 1 9 2010

ALAN CARLSON, Clark of the Court

BY: R. LUCEY DEPUTY

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts. Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral services. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpoalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ce.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. JAVISOI Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 dias, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar pare su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota da presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le de un formulario de exención de pago de cuotes. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quiltar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no coroce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin lines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin tines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhielpatifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniendose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitrale enventres de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corto pueda desechar el caso.

The same and address of the	and the		······································	7	····	
The name and address of the				CASS NUMB	36474	o
(El nombre y dirección de la c SUPERIOR COURT OF	orte es):	COTRICK	OD ANGE	Langue Colonia	3º04/4	4
SUPERIOR COURT OF	CALIFORNIA,	COUNTY OF	CRANGE	Jan -		
CENTRAL JUSTICE C	ENTER		JUL	Jue D	AVID T. M	JEACHEN
700 CIVIC CENTER DI	RIVE WEST. SA	NTA ANA. C	A 92701		•	
The name, address, and telep	hone number of plai	ntiffs attorney, or	plaintiff without an atto	ornev is:	DEPT. C2	[
(El nombre, la dirección y el n	úmero de teléfono d	el abogado del de	emandante, o del deme	andante ou	e no tiene aboga	ida. es):
Kevin L. Elder, Esq. (Bar # 148034)					16) 786-0144
Penney & Associates, 65		leverd Rockl	n CA 05765	1		
	• "	•			Phone No.: (9)	•
DATE:	ALAN CAR	USON	Clerk, by	RLU	CEY	, Deputy
(Fecha) APR 1 9 2010	WITH A WALL	100 m	(Secretario)			(Adjunto)
(For proof of service of this sur	mmons, use Proof o	f Service of Sumr	nons (form POS-010).)			
(Para prueba de entrega de es	sta citatión use el for	mulario Proof of S	Service of Summons. ()	POS-010))	_	
<u> </u>			ED: You are served		-	
(SEAL)		dividual defendan				
· .			the fictitious name of <i>t</i>	fononih da		
	2, as the p	alanti anch minai	the montions hanne of ((sp a city).		
<u> </u>		E-E (•	
	3. Lon beha	If of (specify):				
	under:	GCP 416.10 (con	noretion).	C	CP 416.60 (mino	el.
					•	•
		CCP 416,20 (def	•		CP 416.70 (cons	
		CCP 416.40 (ass	ociation or partnership)) C	CP 416.90 (autho	orized person)
 	ļ <u>.</u>	other (specify):	•			
		, ,				
	4. L by perso	nal delivery on (c	iate).			Same di - a a
·						Page 1 of 1

	SUM-200(A)
SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
– ISHII v. SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO.	·
INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE → This form may be used as an attachment to any summons if space does not p → If this attachment is used, insert the following statement in the plaintiff or defendant form is attached."	
List additional parties (Check only one box. Use a separate page for each type	of party.):
Plaintiff X Defendant Cross-Complainant Cross-ELECTROLUX MAJOR APPLIANCES NORTH AMERICA, and I	s-Defendant DOES 1 to 100, inclusive

Page 2 of 3

Page 1 of 1

	SUM-200(A)
SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
ISHII v. SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO.	
INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE → This form may be used as an attachment to any summons if space does not p → If this attachment is used, insert the following statement in the plaintiff or defe Attachment form is attached."	permit the listing of all parties on the summons.
List additional parties (Check only one box. Use a separate page for each type	e of party.):
Plaintiff	ss-Defendant EE ISHII, DECEASED

roger Naka

Page 3 of 3

Page 1 of 1

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judiclet Council of California SUM-200(A) [Rev. January 1, 2007]

ADDITIONAL PARTIES ATTACHMENT
Attachment to Summons

1 2 3 4 5	Kevin L. Elder, Esq. (SBN 148034) PENNEY & ASSOCIATES 6536 Lonetree Boulevard Rocklin, California 95765 Telephone: 916-786-7662 Facsimile: 916-786-0144 Attorneys for Plaintiffs	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER APR 1 9 2010 ALAN CARLSON, Clerk of the Court BY: R. LUCEY DEPUTY		
6 7	REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII, AND KAY GUARDIAN AD LITEM, REUBEN ISHII, AN INTEREST OF KAYLEE ISHII, DECEASED	DEN ISHII, A MINOR, BY AND THROUGH HIS ND REUBEN ISHII AS SUCCESSOR IN		
8	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT (OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	IN AND FOR THE	COUNTY OF ORANGE		
10				
11	REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII, AND KAYDEN ISHII, A MINOR, BY AND	Case No.: 30-2010		
12	THROUGH HIS GUARDIAN AD LITEM,	00364742		
13	REUBEN ISHII, AND REUBEN ISHII AS SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST OF KAYLEE	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES		
14.	ISHII, DECEASED,	JUDGE DAVID T. MCEACHEN		
15	Plaintiffs,	JUDGE DAVID 1. MOLE 18		
16	vs.			
17 18	SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, FRIGIDAIRE,			
19	ELECTROLUX MAJOR APPLIANCES NORTH AMERICA, and DOES 1 to 100,			
20	inclusive,			
21	Defendants.			
22				
23	I			
24	GENERAL ALLEGATIONS			
25	1. REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII and KAYDEN ISHII, a minor, by and through			
26	his Guardian Ad Litem, REUBEN ISHII, are individuals residing in Orange County, California. At			
27	all times mentioned in this Complaint, REUBEN and MAYUMI ISHII are the mother and father of			
28	KAYLEY ISHII and KAYDEN ISHII. KAYL	EH ISHII, deceased, and KAYDEN ISHII, a minor,		
		1		
	COMPLAINT	FOR DAMAGES		
l		17		

3 4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

age 2 ½ years old (DOB: 10/18/2007) are represented by REUBEN ISHII as successor in interest of KAYLEY ISHIL, and Guardian ad Litem for KAYDEN ISHIL.

- 2. SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO. is a New York Corporation with its principal place of business in Hoffman Estates, Illinois. At all times mentioned in this Complaint, SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO, was authorized to do business in California, and was actually doing business in California, and was a subsidiary of, and/or otherwise was governed and controlled by, Defendant SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation, with its principal place of business in Hoffman Estates, Illinois.
- 3. FRIGIDAIRE is an unknown business entity with its principal place of business in Augusta, Georgia. At all times mentioned in this Complaint, FRIGIDAIRE was authorized to do business in California, and was actually doing business in California, and was a subsidiary of, and/or otherwise was governed and controlled by, Defendant ELECTROLUX MAJOR APPLIANCES NORTH AMERICA, an unknown business entity, with its principal place of business in Augusta, Georgia. At all times mentioned in this Complaint, ELECTROLUX MAJOR APPPLIANCES NORTH AMERICA was authorized to do business in California, and was actually doing business in California.
- The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporation, association or otherwise, of Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 to 100, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiffs who therefore sue said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs pray for leave to amend this Complaint to insert the true names of said Defendants when such information becomes available.
- 5. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that each fictitiously-named Defendant is negligently or otherwise responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged, and that Plaintiffs' injuries and damages were legally caused by said negligence or other conduct.
- Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that at all times mentioned in this Complaint, each of the Defendants was the agent and employee of each of the other remaining Defendants, and in doing the things alleged in this Complaint, was acting within the course and scope of this agency and employment, and each Defendant has ratified and approved the acts of its

agent.

3

1

2

4 5

б 7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

7. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that each of the Defendants was the successor in interest to each of the remaining Defendants, and on that basis is liable for any act or omission of the Defendants alleged in this Complaint.

- 8. On or about March 19, 2005, Plaintiff's REUBEN and MAYUMI ISHII purchased a new Kenmore Front Loading Washing Machine, Model No. 417-44152400, Serial No. XC51130146, from Defendant Sears, Roebuck and Co., a subsidiary of Defendant, Sears Holdings Corporation, at a retail Sears store in Orange County, California. It is believed and hereon alleged that Defendants FRIGIDAIRE and/or ELECTROLUX MAJOR APPLIANCES NORTH AMERICA designed and manufactured the front loading washing machine. The washing machine was installed by Defendants on or about April 7, 2005 in the residence of Plaintiffs in Mission Viejo, Orange County, California.
- 9. The subject washing machine "Use and Care guide" states, among other things, the following:

DO NOT leave the washer door open. An open door could entice children to hang on the door or crawl inside the washer... Note: If there are no small children present, leave the door ajar to prevent odor build up and improve venting of the unit.

Among other design and manufacturing defects, the subject washing machine was designed and manufactured with an "easy start" press button located on the face of the washing machine at a height easily accessible to even the smallest children, including fifteen (15) month old KAYDEN ISHII. The "easy start" press button is a single action button that requires minimum pressure and no other action to start the washing machine. Moreover, the washing machine was designed and manufactured with a "safety measure" that automatically locks the door during the entire wash cycle. The operating instructions state, among other things: "If the cycle is interrupted during spin, it will take approximately two to three minutes for the door lock to release."

10. On or about February 2, 2009, KAYLEY ISHII, age four, climbed inside the front loading washing machine. At or about the same time, her 1 year and 3 month old brother, KAYDEN ISHII, caused the washing machine cycle to start. Kayley tumbled in the washing

11. Before her death, Kayley Ishii incurred medical expenses resulting from her head injuries.

П

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (WRONGFUL DEATH)

COME NOW PLAINTIFFS, REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII, AND KAYDEN ISHII, A MINOR, BY AND THROUGH HIS GUARDIAN AD LITEM, REUBEN ISHII, AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR WRONGFUL DEATH AGAINST DEFENDANTS SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, FRIGIDAIRE, ELECTROLUX MAJOR APPLIANCES NORTH AMERICA, AND FIRST DOE THROUGH ONE HUNDREDTH DOE, INCLUSIVE, AND EACH OF THEM, AND ALLEGE AS FOLLOWS:

- 12. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference all prior paragraphs of this Complaint, and makes said paragraphs a part of this, the first cause of action, as though fully set forth herein.
- 13. Plaintiffs REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII, AND KAYDEN ISHII are the sole surviving heirs-at-law of Kayley Ishii. Plaintiff REUBEN ISHII is the decedent's surviving father and Plaintiff MAYUMI ISHII is the decedent's surviving mother. Plaintiff KAYDEN ISHII is the decedent's surviving brother.
- 14. Kayley Ishii was a minor at the time of her death, and had no surviving issue. Plaintiff REUBEN ISHII has simultaneous to the filing of this action petitioned the Court to be appointed Guardian ad Litem for plaintiff Kayden Ishii, age 2½, by order of the above-entitled Court.
- 15. As a direct and legal result of the negligence, carelessness, recklessness, wantonness, and unlawfulness of Defendants, and each of them, and the resulting death, as aforesaid, these Plaintiffs have sustained severe and serious injuries to their persons, all to

Plaintiffs' damage in a sum within the jurisdiction of this Court and to be shown according to proof.

- 16. As a direct and legal result of the conduct of Defendants, and each of them, and the death of their daughter/sister, Kayley Ishii, Plaintiffs REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII and KAYDEN ISHII have been deprived of the society and comfort of said daughter/sister, including the loss of Kayley's love, companionship, comfort, affection, society, solace and moral support.
- 17. As a direct and legal result of the conduct of Defendants, and each of them, and the resulting death, as aforesaid, these Plaintiffs have been compelled to incur expenses, as well as other special damages, all to the damage of these Plaintiffs, in the amount to be shown according to proof.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII and KAYDEN ISHII pray for judgment as set forth hereinafter.

Ш

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(PRODUCT LIABILITY - NEGLIGENCE/WRONGFUL DEATH)

AS AND FOR A FURTHER, SECOND, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT CAUSE OF ACTION FOR PRODUCT LIABILITY – NEGLIGENCE/WRONGFUL DEATH PLAINTIFFS, REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII, AND KAYDEN ISHII, A MINOR, BY AND THROUGH HIS GUARDIAN AD LITEM, REUBEN ISHII COMPLAIN OF DEFENDANTS SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, FRIGIDAIRE, ELECTROLUX MAJOR APPLIANCES NORTH AMERICA, AND FIRST DOE THROUGH ONE HUNDREDTH DOE, INCLUSIVE, AND EACH OF THEM, AND ALLEGE AS FOLLOWS:

- 18. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference all prior paragraphs of this Complaint, and make said paragraphs a part of this, the second cause of action, as though fully set forth herein.
- 19. Plaintiffs REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII, AND KAYDEN ISHII are the sole surviving heirs-at-law of Kayley Ishii. Plaintiff REUBEN ISHII is the decedent's surviving father and Plaintiff MAYUMI ISHII is the decedent's surviving mother. Plaintiff KAYDEN ISHII is the decedent's surviving brother.

///

- 20. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, FRIGIDAIRE, ELECTROLUX MAJOR APPLIANCES NORTH AMERICA, and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, and each of them, were engaged in the business of manufacturing, designing, assembling, compounding, testing, inspecting, researching, packaging, labeling, fabricating, constructing, analyzing, distributing, merchandising, recommending, advertising, promoting, marketing and selling a certain front loading washing machine and its component parts and constituents, for resale to and use by members of the general purpose for the purpose of washing clothing.
- 21. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, FRIGIDAIRE, ELECTROLUX MAJOR APPLIANCES NORTH AMERICA, and DOES 21 through 30, inclusive, and each of them, were engaged in the business of distributing, supplying and selling the said front loading washing machine and its component parts and constituents to retail outlets, so that same could be resold to the public by the said retail outlets.
- 22. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, FRIGIDAIRE, ELECTROLUX MAJOR APPLIANCES NORTH AMERICA, and DOES 31 through 40, inclusive, and each of them, were engaged in the business of selling at retail to members of the general public, the said front loading washing machine, which was to be used by the general public for the purpose of washing clothes.
- 23. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants, SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, FRIGIDAIRE, ELECTROLUX MAJOR APPLIANCES NORTH AMERICA, and Does 1 through 100, and each of them, knew, or in the exercise of ordinary and reasonable care should have known, that the said front loading washing machine was a product of such a nature that if it was not properly manufactured, designed, assembled, compounded, tested, inspected, researched, packaged, labeled, fabricated, constructed, analyzed, distributed, serviced, merchandised, recommended, advertised, promoted, marketed and sold, for the use and purpose for which it was intended, it was likely to injure the person, or persons to whom it was used, or other members of the household and/or community.

III

- 24. The Defendants, SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, FRIGIDAIRE, ELECTROLUX MAJOR APPLIANCES NORTH AMERICA, and Does 1 through 100, and each of them, so negligently and carelessly manufactured, designed, assembled, compounded, tested or failed to test, inspected or failed to inspect, researched or failed to research, packaged, labeled, fabricated, constructed, analyzed, distributed, serviced, merchandised, recommended, advertised, promoted, marketing and sold the said front loading washing machine, and its component parts and constituents, so that it was in a dangerous and defective condition, and unsafe for the use and purpose for which it was intended.
- 25. The defective and dangerous character and condition of the said front loading washing machine, and that it was unsafe for the use and purpose for which it was intended, was known to the Defendants, and each of them, or in the exercise of ordinary and reasonable care, should have been known and discovered by Defendants, and each of them. Furthermore, the dangerous and defective character and condition of the said front loading washing machine was not made known to the Plaintiffs by the Defendants, and each of them.
- 26. As a direct, proximate and legal result of the said negligence and carelessness of Defendants, and each of them, Kayley Ishii died as a result of her head injuries on February 2, 2009.
- As a result of the aforesaid injuries and wrongful death, Plaintiffs REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII AND KAYDEN ISHII, A MINOR, BY AND THROUGH HIS GUARDIAN AD LITEM, REUBEN ISHII, have suffered and will suffer in the future with reasonable certainty, the loss of the love, companionship, comfort, affection, society, solace and moral support of Kayley Ishii in a monetary sum in excess of the jurisdictional limits of the Superior Court, Limited Jurisdiction. In addition, Plaintiffs REUBEN AND MAYUMI ISHII have incurred expenses associated with the funeral services and burial of Kayley Ishii, deceased. Moreover, REUBEN AND MAYUMI ISHII have incurred the cost of medical treatment following injuries to their daughter, Kayley Ishii, until pronouncement of death several hours after the injuries she sustained in the subject incident.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as hereinafter set forth.

IV

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY/WRONGFUL DEATH)

AS AND FOR A FURTHER, THIRD, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT CAUSE OF ACTION FOR STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY/WRONGFUL DEATH, PLAINTIFFS, REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII, AND KAYDEN ISHII, A MINOR, BY AND THROUGH HIS GUARDIAN AD LITEM, REUBEN ISHII COMPLAIN OF DEFENDANTS SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, AND FRIGIDAIRE, ELECTROLUX MAJOR APPLIANCES NORTH AMERICA, AND FIRST DOE THROUGH ONE HUNDRETH DOE, INCLUSIVE, AND EACH OF THEM, AND ALLEGE AS FOLLOWS:

- 28. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference all prior paragraphs of this Complaint, and make said paragraphs a part of this, the third cause of action, as though fully set forth herein.
- 29. Plaintiffs REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII, AND KAYDEN ISHII are the sole surviving heirs-at-law of Kayley Ishii. Plaintiff REUBEN ISHII is the decedent's surviving father and Plaintiff MAYUMI ISHII is the decedent's surviving mother. Plaintiff KAYDEN ISHII is the decedent's surviving brother.
- 30. Defendants, and each of them, manufactured, designed, assembled, compounded, tested or failed to test, inspected or failed to inspect, packaged, labeled, fabricated, constructed, analyzed, distributed, serviced, merchandised, recommended, advertised, promoted, marketed and sold a certain front loading washing machine and its component parts and constituents, which was intended by the Defendants, and each of them, to be used for the purpose of washing clothes and other related activities.
- 31. Defendants, and each of them, knew that said front loading washing machine was to be purchased and used without inspection for defects by Plaintiffs and the general public.
- 32. The said front loading washing machine was unsafe for its intended use by reason of defects in its manufacture, design, testing, components and constituents, so that it would not safely

serve its purpose, but would instead expose the users of said product to serious injury, including death, because of the failure of Defendants, and each of them, to properly guard and protect the users of the said front loading washing machine from the defective design of said product.

- 33. Plaintiffs were not aware of said defects at any time prior to the injuries caused by the said defective front loading washing machine.
- 34. As a direct, proximate and legal result of said negligence and carelessness of Defendants, and each of them, Kayley Ishii died as a result of her head injuries on February 2, 2009.
- 35. As a result of the aforesaid injuries and wrongful death, Plaintiffs REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII AND KAYDEN ISHII, A MINOR, BY AND THROUGH HIS GUARDIAN AD LITEM, REUBEN ISHII, have suffered and will suffer in the future with reasonable certainty, the loss of the love, companionship, comfort, affection, society, solace and moral support of Kayley Ishii in a monetary sum in excess of the jurisdictional limits of the Superior Court, Limited Jurisdiction. In addition, Plaintiffs REUBEN AND MAYUMI ISHII have incurred expenses associated with the funeral services and burial of Kayley Ishii, deceased. Moreover, REUBEN AND MAYUMI ISHII have incurred the cost of medical treatment following injuries to their daughter, Kayley Ishii, until pronouncement of death several hours after the injuries she sustained in the subject incident.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as hereinafter set forth.

V

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(BREACH OF EXPRESSED WARRANTY/WRONGFUL DEATH)

AS AND FOR A FURTHER, FOURTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT CAUSE OF ACTION FOR BREACH OF EXPRESSED WARRANTY/WRONGFUL DEATH, PLAINTIFFS REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII AND KAYDEN ISHII, A MINOR, BY AND THROUGH HIS GUARDIAN AD LITEM, REUBEN ISHII, COMPLAIN OF DEFENDANTS, SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, FRIGIDAIRE, ELECTROLUX MAJOR APPLIANCES NORTH AMERICA, AND FIRST DOE THROUGH ONE

- 36. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference all prior paragraphs of this Complaint, and make said paragraphs a part of this, the fourth cause of action, as though fully set forth herein.
- 37. Plaintiffs REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII, AND KAYDEN ISHII are the sole surviving heirs-at-law of Kayley Ishii. Plaintiff REUBEN ISHII is the decedent's surviving father and Plaintiff MAYUMI ISHII is the decedent's surviving mother. Plaintiff KAYDEN ISHII is the decedent's surviving brother.
- 38. At all times herein mentioned, on and prior to February 2, 2009, the Defendants, and each of them, utilized advertising media, professional publications and detail person to urge the use and purchase of the said front loading washing machine, and expressly warranted to members of the general public, including the Plaintiffs herein, that the said front loading washing machine, was effective, proper and safe for its intended use.
- 39. Plaintiffs relied upon the said expressed warranty representations of the defendants, and each of them, in the purchase and use of said front loading washing machine.
- 40. The said front loading washing machine was not effective, proper and safe for its intended use as expressly warranted by Defendents, and each of them, in that the said front loading washing machine was defective, thereby causing serious injury and wrongful death to Kayley Ishii.
- 41. Within a reasonable time after discovery and belief that the said front loading washing machine was defective and unsafe for its intended use, Plaintiffs notified defendants of the breach of said expressed warranty in the manner and form prescribed by law.
- 42. As a direct, proximate and legal result of the breach of said expressed warranty, Kayley Ishii wrongfully died as hereinabove set forth.
- 43. As a result of the aforesaid injuries and wrongful death, Plaintiffs REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII AND KAYDEN ISHII, A MINOR, BY AND THROUGH HIS GUARDIAN AD LITEM, REUBEN ISHII, have suffered and will suffer in the future with reasonable certainty, the loss of the love, companionship, comfort, affection, society, solace and moral support of Kayley Ishii in a monetary sum in excess of the jurisdictional limits of the Superior Court, Limited Jurisdiction. In addition, Plaintiffs REUBEN AND MAYUMI ISHII have incurred expenses

associated with the funeral services and burial of Kayley Ishii, deceased. Moreover, REUBEN AND MAYUMI ISHII have incurred the cost of medical treatment following injuries to their daughter, Kayley Ishii, until pronouncement of death several hours after the injuries she sustained in the subject incident.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as hereinafter set forth.

VI

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY/WRONGFUL DEATH)

AS AND FOR A FURTHER, FIFTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT CAUSE OF ACTION FOR BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY/WRONGFUL DEATH, PLAINTIFFS REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII AND KAYDEN ISHII, A MINOR, BY AND THROUGH HIS GUARDIAN AD LITEM, REUBEN ISHII, COMPLAIN OF DEFENDANTS, SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, FRIGIDAIRE, ELECTROLUX MAJOR APPLIANCES NORTH AMERICA, AND FIRST DOE THROUGH ONE HUNDREDTH DOE, INCLUSIVE, AND EACH OF THEM, AND ALLEGES AS FOLLOWS:

- 44. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference all prior paragraphs of this Complaint, and makes said paragraphs a part of this, the fifth cause of action, as though fully set forth herein.
- 45. Plaintiff's REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII, AND KAYDEN ISHII are the sole surviving heirs-at-law of Kayley Ishii. Plaintiff REUBEN ISHII is the decedent's surviving father and Plaintiff MAYUMI ISHII is the decedent's surviving mother. Plaintiff KAYDEN ISHII is the decedent's surviving brother.
- 46. Prior to February 2, 2009 and prior to the time that the said front loading washing machine was being used by Plaintiffs at the time of the subject incident, the Defendants, and each of them, impliedly warranted to members of the general public, including Plaintiffs, that the said front loading washing machine was of merchantable quality and safe for the use for which it was intended by the Defendants, namely, for the purpose of washing clothes, and other related activities.

- 47. Plaintiffs relied on the skill and judgment of Defendants, and each of them, in the selection, purchase and use of the said front loading washing machine.
- 48. The said front loading washing machine was not safe for its intended use nor was it of merchantable quality as warranted by Defendants, and each of them, in that it was defectively designed, thereby dangerously exposing the user of said front loading washing machine and those around it to serious injury, including death.
- 49. Within a reasonable time after discovery and belief that the said front loading washing machine was defective and unsafe for its intended use, Plaintiffs notified defendants of the breach of said implied warranty in the manner and form prescribed by law.
- 50. As a direct, proximate and legal result of the breach of said implied warranty, Kayley Ishii wrongfully died as hereinabove set forth.
- 51. As a result of the aforesaid injuries and wrongful death, Plaintiffs REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII AND KAYDEN ISHII, A MINOR, BY AND THROUGH HIS GUARDIAN AD LITEM, REUBEN ISHII, have suffered and will suffer in the future with reasonable certainty, the loss of the love, companionship, comfort, affection, society, solace and moral support of Kayley Ishii in a monetary sum in excess of the jurisdictional limits of the Superior Court, Limited Jurisdiction. In addition, Plaintiffs REUBEN AND MAYUMI ISHII have incurred expenses associated with the funeral services and burial of Kayley Ishii, deceased. Moreover, REUBEN AND MAYUMI ISHII have incurred the cost of medical treatment following injuries to their daughter, Kayley Ishii, until pronouncement of death several hours after the injuries she sustained in the subject incident.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as hereinafter set forth.

VII

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(FALSE REPRESENTATION

UNDER RESTATEMENT OF TORTS, 2nd, §402-B/WRONGFUL DEATH)

AS AND FOR A FURTHER, SIXTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT CAUSE OF ACTION

FOR FALSE REPRESENTATION UNDER RESTATEMENT OF TORTS, 2nd, §402-B/WRONGFUL DEATH PLAINTIFFS REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII AND KAYDEN ISHII, A MINOR, BY AND THROUGH HIS GUARDIAN AD LITEM, REUBEN ISHII, COMPLAIN OF DEFENDANTS, SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, FRIGIDAIRE, ELECTROLUX MAJOR APPLIANCES NORTH AMERICA, AND FIRST DOE THROUGH ONE HUNDREDTH DOE, INCLUSIVE, AND EACH OF THEM, AND ALLEGES AS FOLLOWS:

- 52. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference all prior paragraphs of this Complaint, and make said paragraphs a part of this, the sixth cause of action, as though fully set forth herein.
- 53. Plaintiffs REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII, AND KAYDEN ISHII are the sole surviving heirs-at-law of Kayley Ishii. Plaintiff REUBEN ISHII is the decedent's surviving father and Plaintiff MAYUMI ISHII is the decedent's surviving mother. Plaintiff KAYDEN ISHII is the decedent's surviving brother.
- 54. At the aforementioned time when Defendants, and each of them, manufactured, designed, assembled, compounded, tested or failed to test, researched or failed to research, packaged, labeled, fabricated, constructed, analyzed, distributed, serviced, merchandised, recommended, advertised, promoted, marketed and sold the said front loading washing machine, and its component parts and constituents, as herein above set forth, the Defendants, and each of them, expressly and impliedly represented to members of the general public, including Plaintiffs, that the said front loading washing machine and its component parts and constituents, was of merchantable quality and safe for the use for which it was intended.
- 55. Plaintiffs relied upon said representations of Defendants, and each of them, in the selection, purchase and use of said front loading washing machine.
- 56. Said representations by Defendants, and each of them, were false and untrue, in that the said front loading washing machine was not safe for its intended use, nor was it of merchantable quality as represented by Defendants, and each of them, in that it had very dangerous propensities and defects that caused injury and damage to the users of said product, including Plaintiffs, thereby threatening the health and life of Plaintiffs.

- 57. As a proximate result, said front loading washing machine was purchased from Defendants, and each of them, and Kayley Ishii, through the wrongful acts and the aforementioned conduct of Defendants, and each of them, died.
- As a result of the aforesaid injuries and wrongful death, Plaintiffs REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII AND KAYDEN ISHII, A MINOR, BY AND THROUGH HIS GUARDIAN AD LITEM, REUBEN ISHII, have suffered and will suffer in the future with reasonable certainty, the loss of the love, companionship, comfort, affection, society, solace and moral support of Kayley Ishii in a monetary sum in excess of the jurisdictional limits of the Superior Court, Limited Jurisdiction. In addition, Plaintiffs REUBEN AND MAYUMI ISHII have incurred expenses associated with the funeral services and burial of Kayley Ishii, deceased. Moreover, REUBEN AND MAYUMI ISHII have incurred the cost of medical treatment following injuries to their daughter, Kayley Ishii, until pronouncement of death several hours after the injuries she sustained in the subject incident.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as hereinafter set forth.

VIII

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS)

AS AND FOR A FURTHER, SEVENTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS PLAINTIFFS MAYUMI ISHII AND KAYDEN ISHII COMPLAIN OF DEFENDANTS, SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, FRIGIDAIRE, ELECTROLUX MAJOR APPLIANCES NORTH AMERICA, AND FIRST DOE THROUGH ONE HUNDREDTH DOE, INCLUSIVE, AND EACH OF THEM, AND ALLEGE AS FOLLOWS:

- 59. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference all prior paragraphs of this Complaint, and make said paragraphs a part of this, the seventh cause of action, as though fully set forth herein.
- 60. Plaintiff MAYUMI ISHII was the mother of Kayley Ishii. Plaintiff KAYDEN ISHII was the younger brother to Kayley Ishii.

- 61. Plaintiffs MAYUMI ISHII and KAYDEN ISHII were present at the scene of the injury producing event on February 2, 2009. Plaintiff KAYDEN ISHII contemporaneously observed the start-up of the washing machine, the tumbling of his sister Kayley inside the washing machine, and the attempt to resuscitate and save her life. Plaintiff MAYUMI ISHII personally observed her daughter, Kayley Ishii, tumbling in the washing machine for at least one minute during which time she was attempting to stop the cycle. Mayumi also observed the injuries, resuscitation and attempts to save the life of her daughter Kayley.
- 62. As a direct, proximate and legal result of the negligence and wrongful conduct of Defendants, and each of them, as alleged in each cause of action contained herein, Plaintiffs, MAYUMI ISHII and KAYDEN ISHII suffered severe, substantial and enduring emotional distress all to Plaintiff's damage in a sum within the jurisdiction of this Court and to be shown according to proof.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as hereinafter set forth.

IX

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(PRODUCT LIABILITY - NEGLIGENCE/SURVIVOR ACTION)

AS AND FOR A FURTHER, EIGHTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT CAUSE OF ACTION FOR PRODUCT LIABILITY — NEGLIGENCE/SURVIVOR ACTION PLAINTIFF REUBEN ISHII, AS SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST OF KAYLEY ISHII, COMPLAINS OF DEFENDANTS, SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, FRIGIDAIRE, ELECTROLUX MAJOR APPLIANCES NORTH AMERICA, AND FIRST DOE THROUGH ONE HUNDREDTH DOE, INCLUSIVE, AND EACH OF THEM, AND ALLEGES AS FOLLOWS:

- 63. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all prior paragraphs of this Complaint, and makes said paragraphs a part of this, the eighth cause of action, as though fully set forth herein.
- 64. Plaintiff REUBEN ISHII, is the Successor in interest to Kayley Ishii, for purposes of bringing an action under C.C.P. §377.30, et. seq., and has complied with C.C.P.

§377.32.

- 65. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, FRIGIDAIRE, and DOES I through 20, inclusive, and each of them, were engaged in the business of manufacturing, designing, assembling, compounding, testing, inspecting, researching, packaging, labeling, fabricating, constructing, analyzing, distributing, merchandising, recommending, advertising, promoting, marketing and selling a certain front loading washing machine and its component parts and constituents, for resale to and use by members of the general purpose for the purpose of washing clothing.
- 66. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, FRIGIDAIRE and DOES 21 through 30, inclusive, and each of them, were engaged in the business of distributing, supplying and selling the said front loading washing machine and its component parts and constituents to retail outlets, so that same could be resold to the public by the said retail outlets.
- 67. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, FRIGIDAIRE, ELECTROLUX MAJOR APPLIANCES NORTH AMERICA, and DOES 31 through 40, inclusive, and each of them, were engaged in the business of selling at retail to members of the general public, the said front loading washing machine, which was to be used by the general public for the purpose of washing clothes.
- 68. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants, SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, FRIGIDAIRE, ELECTROLUX MAJOR APPLIANCES NORTH AMERICA, and Does 1 through 100, and each of them, knew, or in the exercise of ordinary and reasonable care should have known, that the said front loading washing machine was a product of such a nature that if it was not properly manufactured, designed, assembled, compounded, tested, inspected, researched, packaged, labeled, fabricated, constructed, analyzed, distributed, serviced, merchandised, recommended, advertised, promoted, marketed and sold, for the use and purpose for which it was intended, it was likely to injure the person, or persons to whom it was used, or other members of the household and/or community.

- 69. The Defendants, SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, FRIGIDAIRE, ELECTROLUX MAJOR APPLIANCES NORTH AMERICA, and Does 1 through 100, and each of them, so negligently and carelessly manufactured, designed, assembled, compounded, tested or failed to test, inspected or failed to inspect, researched or failed to research, packaged, labeled, fabricated, constructed, analyzed, distributed, serviced, merchandised, recommended, advertised, promoted, marketing and sold the said front loading washing machine, and its component parts and constituents, so that it was in a dangerous and defective condition, and unsafe for the use and purpose for which it was intended.
- 70. The defective and dangerous character and condition of the said front loading washing machine, and that it was unsafe for the use and purpose for which it was intended, was known to the Defendants, and each of them, or in the exercise of ordinary and reasonable care, should have been known and discovered by Defendants, and each of them. Furthermore, the dangerous and defective character and condition of the said front loading washing machine was not made known to the Plaintiffs by the Defendants, and each of them.
- 71. As a direct, proximate and legal result of the said negligence and carelessness of Defendants, and each of them, Kayley Ishii sustained severe injuries as a result of blunt force trauma to her head on February 2, 2009 which later resulted in her death.
- 72. Before her death, Kaylee Ishii incurred medical and incidental expenses resulting from her personal injuries.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff REBUEN ISHII, as successor in interest of Kayley Ishii, prays for judgment as set forth hereinafter.

X

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY/SURVIVOR ACTION)

AS AND FOR A FURTHER, NINTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT CAUSE OF ACTION FOR STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY/SURVIVOR ACTION PLAINTIFF REUBEN ISHII, AS SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST OF KAYLEY ISHII, DECEASED, COMPLAINS OF DEFENDANTS, SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION,

FRIGIDAIRE, ELECTROLUX MAJOR APPLIANCES NORTH AMERICA, AND FIRST DOE THROUGH ONE HUNDREDTH DOE, INCLUSIVE, AND EACH OF THEM, AND ALLEGES AS FOLLOWS:

- 73. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all prior paragraphs of this Complaint, and makes said paragraphs a part of this, the ninth cause of action, as though fully set forth herein.
- 74. Plaintiff REUBEN ISHII, is the Successor in interest to Kayley Ishii, deceased, for purposes of bringing an action under C.C.P. §377.30, et. seq., and has complied with C.C.P. §377.32.
- 75. Defendants, and each of them, manufactured, designed, assembled, compounded, tested or failed to test, inspected or failed to inspect, packaged, labeled, fabricated, constructed, analyzed, distributed, serviced, merchandised, recommended, advertised, promoted, marketed and sold a certain front loading washing machine and its component parts and constituents, which was intended by the Defendants, and each of them, to be used for the purpose of washing clothes and other related activities.
- 76. Defendants, and each of them, knew that said front loading washing machine was to be purchased and used without inspection for defects by Plaintiffs and the general public.
- 77. The said front loading washing machine was unsafe for its intended use by reason of defects in its manufacture, design, testing, components and constituents, so that it would not safely serve its purpose, but would instead expose the users of said product to serious injury, including death, because of the failure of Defendants, and each of them, to properly guard and protect the users of the said front loading washing machine from the defective design of said product.
- 78. Plaintiffs were not aware of said defects at any time prior to the injuries caused by the said defective front loading washing machine.
- 79. As a direct, proximate and legal result of the said negligence and carelessness of Defendants, and each of them, Kayley Ishii sustained severe injuries as a result of blunt force trauma to her head on February 2, 2009 which later resulted in her death.
- 80. Before her death, Kaylee Ishii incurred medical and incidental expenses resulting from her personal injuries.

judgment as set forth hereinafter.

3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

19 20

18

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

III

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff REBUEN ISHII, as successor in interest of Kayley Ishii, prays for

XI

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(BREACH OF EXPRESSED WARRANTY/SURVIVOR ACTION)

AS AND FOR A FURTHER, TENTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT CAUSE OF ACTION FOR BREACH OF EXPRESSED WARRANTY/SURVIVOR ACTION, PLAINTIFF REUBEN ISHII, AS SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST OF KAYLEY ISHII, DECEASED, COMPLAINS OF DEFENDANTS, SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, FRIGIDAIRE, ELECTROLUX MAJOR APPLIANCES NORTH AMERICA, AND FIRST DOE THROUGH ONE HUNDREDTH DOE, INCLUSIVE, AND EACH OF THEM, AND ALLEGES AS FOLLOWS:

- Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all prior paragraphs of this Complaint, and 81. makes said paragraphs a part of this, the tenth cause of action, as though fully set forth herein.
- 82. Plaintiff REUBEN ISHII, is the Successor in interest to Kayley Ishii, deceased, for purposes of bringing an action under C.C.P. §377.30, et. seq., and has complied with C.C.P. §377.32.
- At all times herein mentioned, on and prior to February 2, 2009, the Defendants, and 83. each of them, utilized advertising media, professional publications and detail person to urge the use and purchase of the said front loading washing machine, and expressly warranted two members of the general public, including the Plaintiffs herein, that the said front loading washing machine, was effective, proper and safe for its intended use.
- 84. Plaintiffs relied upon the said expressed warranty representations of the defendants, and each of them, in the purchase and use of said front loading washing machine.
- The said front loading washing machine, was not effective, proper and safe for its 85. intended use as expressly warranted by Defendants, and each of them, in that the said front loading washing machine was defective, thereby causing serious injury and wrongful death to Kayley Ishii.

19

- 86. Within a reasonable time after discovery and belief that the said front loading washing machine was defective and unsafe for its intended use, Plaintiffs notified defendants of the breach of said expressed warranty in the manner and form prescribed by law.
- 87. As a direct, proximate and legal result of the said negligence and carelessness of Defendants, and each of them, Kayley Ishii sustained severe injuries as a result of blunt force trauma to her head on February 2, 2009 which later resulted in her death.
- 88. Before her death, Kaylee Ishii incurred medical and incidental expenses resulting from her personal injuries.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff REBUEN ISHII, as successor in interest of Kayley Ishii prays for judgment as set forth hereinafter.

XII

ELEVETH CAUSE OF ACTION

(BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY/SURVIVOR ACTION)

AS AND FOR A FURTHER, ELEVENTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT CAUSE OF ACTION FOR BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY/SURVIVOR ACTION, PLAINTIFF REUBEN ISHII, AS SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST OF KAYLEY ISHII, DECEASED, COMPLAINS OF DEFENDANTS, SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, FRIGIDAIRE, ELECTROLUX MAJOR APPLIANCES NORTH AMERICA, AND FIRST DOE THROUGH ONE HUNDREDTH DOE, INCLUSIVE, AND EACH OF THEM, AND ALLEGES AS FOLLOWS:

- 89. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all prior paragraphs of this Complaint, and makes said paragraphs a part of this, the eleventh cause of action, as though fully set forth herein.
- 90. Plaintiff REUBEN ISHII, is the Successor in interest to Kayley Ishii, deceased, for purposes of bringing an action under C.C.P. §377.30, et. seq., and has complied with C.C.P. §377.32.
- 91. Prior to February 2, 2009 and prior to the time that the said front loading washing machine was being used by Plaintiffs at the time of the subject incident, the Defendants, and each of them, impliedly warranted to members of the general public, including Plaintiffs, that the said

front loading washing machine was of merchantable quality and safe for the use for which it was intended by the Defendants, namely, for the purpose of washing clothes, and other related activities.

- 92. Plaintiffs relied on the skill and judgment of Defendants, and each of them, in the selection, purchase and use of the said front loading washing machine.
- 93. The said front loading washing machine was not safe for its intended use nor was it of merchantable quality as warranted by Defendants, and each of them, in that it was defectively designed, thereby dangerously exposing the user of said front loading washing machine and those around it to serious injury, including death.
- 94. Within a reasonable time after discovery and belief that the said front loading washing machine was defective and unsafe for its intended use, Plaintiffs notified defendants of the breach of said expressed warranty in the manner and form prescribed by law.
- 95. As a direct, proximate and legal result of the said negligence and carelessness of Defendants, and each of them, Kayley Ishii sustained severe injuries as a result of blunt force trauma to her head on February 2, 2009 which later resulted in her death.
- 96. Before her death, Kaylee Ishii incurred medical and incidental expenses resulting from her personal injuries.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff REBUEN ISHII, as successor in interest of Kayley Ishii prays for judgment as set forth hereinafter.

ΧШ

TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(FALSE REPRESENTATION

UNDER RESTATEMENT OF TORTS, 2nd, §402-B/SURVIVOR ACTION)

AS AND FOR A FURTHER, TWELFTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT CAUSE OF ACTION FOR FALSE REPRESENTATION UNDER RESTATEMENT OF TORTS, 2nd, §402-B/SURVIVOR ACTION PLAINTIFF REUBEN ISHII, AS SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST OF KAYLEY ISHII, DECEASED, COMPLAINS OF DEFENDANTS, SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, FRIGIDAIRE, ELECTROLUX MAJOR

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24 25

26 27

28

III

APPLIANCES NORTH AMERICA, AND FIRST DOE THROUGH ONE HUNDREDTH DOE, INCLUSIVE, AND EACH OF THEM, AND ALLEGES AS FOLLOWS:

- 97. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all prior paragraphs of this Complaint, and makes said paragraphs a part of this, the twelfth cause of action, as though fully set forth herein.
- Plaintiff REUBEN ISHII, is the Successor in interest to Kayley Ishii, deceased, for 98. purposes of bringing an action under C.C.P. §377.30, et. seq., and has complied with C.C.P. §377.32.
- 99. At the aforementioned time when Defendants, and each of them, manufactured, designed, assembled, compounded, tested or failed to test, researched or failed to research, packaged, labeled, fabricated, constructed, analyzed, distributed, serviced, merchandised, recommended, advertised, promoted, marketed and sold the said front loading washing machine, and its component parts and constituents, as herein above set forth, the Defendants, and each of them, expressly and impliedly represented to members of the general public, including Plaintiffs, that the said front loading washing machine and its component parts and constituents, was of merchantable quality and safe for the use for which it was intended.
- 100. Plaintiffs relied upon said representations of Defendants, and each of them, in the selection, purchase and use of said front loading washing machine.
- 101. Said representations by Defendants, and each of them, were false and untrue, in that the said front loading washing machine was not safe for its intended use, nor was it of merchantable quality as represented by Defendants, and each of them, in that it had very dangerous propensities and defects that caused injury and damage to the users of said product, including Plaintiffs, thereby threatening the health and life of Plaintiffs.
- As a direct, proximate and legal result of the said negligence and carelessness of 102. Defendants, and each of them, Kayley Ishii sustained severe injuries as a result of blunt force trauma to her head on February 2, 2009 which later resulted in her death.
- Before her death, Kaylee Ishii incurred medical and incidental expenses resulting from her personal injuries.

22

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff REBUEN ISHII, as successor in interest of Kayley Ishii, prays for judgment as set forth hereinafter.

XIV

THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (CLAIM FOR PUNITIVE DAMAGES)

AS AND FOR A FURTHER, THIRTEENTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT CAUSE OF ACTION FOR PUNITIVE DAMAGES, PLAINTIFF REUBEN ISHII, AS SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST OF KAYLEY ISHII, DECEASED, COMPLAINS OF DEFENDANTS, SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, FRIGIDAIRE, ELECTROLUX MAJOR APPLIANCES NORTH AMERICA, AND FIRST DOE THROUGH ONE HUNDREDTH DOE, INCLUSIVE, AND EACH OF THEM, AND ALLEGES AS FOLLOWS:

- 104. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all prior paragraphs of this Complaint, and makes said paragraphs a part of this, the thirteenth cause of action, as though fully set forth herein.
- 105. Plaintiff REUBEN ISHII, is the Successor in interest to Kayley Ishii, deceased, for purposes of bringing an action under C.C.P. §377.30, et. seq., and has complied with C.C.P. §377.32.
- 106. Defendants, and each of them, manufactured, designed, assembled, compounded, tested or failed to test, inspect or failed to inspect, packaged, labeled, fabricated, constructed, analyzed, distributed, serviced, merchandised, recommended, advertised, promoted, marketed and sold the said front loading washing machine, and its component parts, a product which said Defendants knew to be dangerous and unsafe for the purpose for which they intended it to be used, namely for washing clothing. At all times herein mentioned, prior to and at the time the Defendants, and each of them, sold the said front loading washing machine to Plaintiff REUBEN ISHII, and prior to the time that said product was used by Plaintiff, the Defendants, and each of them, knew, as a result of clinical studies, tests, research, complaints of other users and other information, that the said front loading washing machine, and its component parts, was defectively designed and manufactured, that it had extremely dangerous propensities and defects, in that it was designed in such a way that a 15 (fifteen) month old child was able to start the washing machine

1	110.	The conduct and acts of Defendants, and each of them, as herein above set forth, in								
2	allowing suc	h an extremely dangerous product to be used by members of the general public,								
3	including Plaintiff, constitute fraud, malice and oppression toward Plaintiff, and a conscious									
4	disregard of the safety of Plaintiff. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to exemplary or punitive damages,									
5	which would	serve to punish and make examples of the Defendants, and each of them, as the Court								
6	may deem ju	st and proper.								
7	111.	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff REBUEN ISHII, as successor in interest of Kayley Ishii,								
8	prays for judg	gment as set forth hereinafter.								
9		XV								
10		PRAYER								
11	WHE	REFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as								
12	follows:									
13	1.	For each of the Plaintiffs general damages as alleged herein and according to proof;								
14	2.	For exemplary or punitive damages as the jury may deem just and proper;								
15	3.	For burial and funeral expenses associated with Kayley Ishii's death;								
16	4.	For medical and incidental related expenses for treatment provided to Kayley Ishii								
17	prior to her de	eath as a result of the subject incident;								
18	5.	For monetary damages for Plaintiff's REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII, AND								
19	KAYDEN IS	HII's loss of the love, companionship, comfort, affection, society, solace and moral								
20	support;									
21	6.	For pre-judgment interest according to proof, pursuant to Civil Code Section 3291;								
22	7.	For Plaintiffs' cost of suit herein; and;								
23	8.	For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.								
24	Dated	April 16, 2010								
25	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	PENNEY & ASSOCIATES								
26	A SE OF	A P								
27		Kevin IVElder Esq								
28		Atforney for Plaintiffs Reuben and Mayumi Ishii, Kayden Ishii and								
- 1		Kayley Ishii								

	s	UPERIOR COL	URT OF CALIFORNIA USTICE CENTER	FILED
1	Kevin L. Elder, Esq. (SBN 148034) PENNEY & ASSOCIATES		1 9 2010	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER
2	6536 Lonetree Boulevard	m		APR 1 9 2010
3	Telephone: 916-786-7662 Facsimile: 916-786-0144	Y: <u>B</u>	RYAN	ALAN CARLSON, Clark of the Court
4	11acsinino. 910-760-0144			BY: R. LUCEY DEPUTY
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII, AN	D KAYI	DEN ISHIL A I	MINOR, BY AND THROUGH HIS
6	GUARDIAN AD LITEM, REUBEN IS	HII, AN		
7	INTEREST OF KAYLEY ISHII, DECI			
8	IN THE SUPERIOR C	OURT (OF THE STATE	E OF CALIFORNIA
9	IN AND FO	R THE	COUNTY OF C	DRANGE
10	REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII, AN	D)	Case No.:	30-2010
11	KAYDEN ISHII, A MINOR, BY AND	{	1	364742
12	THROUGH HIS GUARDIAN AD LIT REUBEN ISHII, AND REUBEN ISHII	AS {	,	TION OF REUBEN ISHII,
13	SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST OF KAY ISHII, DECEASED,	LEY {	SUCCESSO ISHIT, DEC	R IN INTEREST OF KAYLEY
14	Distrator	}	C.C.P. §377.	32
15	Plaintiffs,	}	JUDGE	DAVID T. MCEACHEN
16	VS.	. {		DEPT. C21
17	SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO.; SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, FRIGIL		-	
18	ELECTOLUX MAJOR APPLIANCES NORTH AMERICA and DOES 1 to 100	n }		
19	inclusive,	`` {		•
20	Defendants.	}		
21)		
22	I, REUBEN ISHII, have persona	l knowle	edge of and decl	are as follows:
23	1. The decedent in the above	e entitled	l case is Kayley	r Ishii,
24	2. Kayley Ishii died on Febr	ruary 2, 2	2009 at Mission	Hospital Regional Medical Center.
25	3. No proceeding is now p	ending i	in California fo	or administration of the decedent's
26	estate.			
27	4. The estate of Kayley Ishii	i has not	been administe	red.
28	5. I am the decedent's suc	ccessor	in interest (as	defined in Section 377.11 of the
	California Code of Civil Procedure) and	l succeed	to the deceder	nt's interest in this action. I am the
	DECLARATION OF REUBEN ISHII S	UCCESSO		TO KAYLEY ISHII, DECEASED

father of Kayley Ishii. At the time of her death, she was an unmarried minor and had no surviving issue. At the time of her death, she lived with her mother and I. Mayumi Ishii and I are a married couple who resided together at the time of the incident and through the present. No other person has a superior right to commence this action or to be substituted for 6. the decedent in the pending action or proceeding. 7. A true and correct copy of the death certificate of Kayley Ishii is attached as Exhibit 1 to this Declaration. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on this 30th day of March, 2010 in the city of Aliso Viejo, County of Orange, California.

Case 8:10-cv-00832-JVS -RNB Document 1 Filed 06/11/10 Page 45 of 69 DRATINGAVION (ODAVIDAG RECORD HEALTH CARE AGENCY 200 N. MAIN STREET, SUITE 100-A SANTA ANA CA 92701 CERTIFICATE OF DEATH 06/09/2004 TO SCORE SCORE NUMBER SE EXPERIENCE SPREED STREET TO LOSS OF STREET STRE MISSION VIEJO

CERTIFIED COPY OF VITAL RECORDS

DATE ISSUED

FEB 0.9 2009

KELLY KEYES, DEPUTY CORONER

STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE

This is a true and exact reproduction of the document officially registered and placed on tile in the office of the VITAL RECORDS SECTION, OR MADE COUNTY HEALTH CARE AGENCY.

LE O HAZILLE H-O.
ERICIA HÄNDLER, MÖ
GREATH OFFICER

This copy not valid unless prepared on engraved border displaying seal and signature of Registrar.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) INFORMATION PACKAGE

NOTICE TO PLAINTIFF(S) AND/OR CROSS-COMPLAINANT(S):

Rule 3.221(c) of the California Rules of Court requires you to serve a copy of the ADR Information Package along with the complaint and/or cross-complaint.

California Rules of Court – Rule 3.221
Information about Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR)

- (a) Each court shall make available to the plaintiff, at the time of filing of the complaint, an ADR Information Package that includes, at a minimum, all of the following:
 - (1) General information about the potential advantages and disadvantages of ADR and descriptions of the principal ADR processes.
 - (2) Information about the ADR programs available in that court, including citations to any applicable local court rules and directions for contacting any court staff responsible for providing parties with assistance regarding ADR.
 - (3) Information about the availability of local dispute resolution programs funded under the Dispute Resolutions Program Act (DRPA), in counties that are participating in the DRPA. This information may take the form of a list of the applicable programs or directions for contacting the county's DRPA coordinator.
 - (4) An ADR stipulation form that parties may use to stipulate to the use of an ADR process,
- (b) A court may make the ADR Information Package available on its Web site as long as paper copies are also made available in the clerk's office.
- (c) The plaintiff must serve a copy of the ADR Information Package on each defendant along with the complaint. Cross-complainants must serve a copy of the ADR Information Package on any new parties to the action along with the cross-complaint.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE

ADR Information

Introduction.

Most civil disputes are resolved without filing a lawsuit, and most civil lawsuits are resolved without a trial. The courts and others offer a variety of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) processes to help people resolve disputes without a trial. ADR is usually less formal, less expensive, and less time-consuming than a trial. ADR can also give people more opportunity to determine when and how their dispute will be resolved.

BENEFITS OF ADR.

Using ADR may have a variety of benefits, depending on the type of ADR process used and the circumstances of the particular case. Some potential benefits of ADR are summarized below.

Save Time. A dispute often can be settled or decided much sooner with ADR; often in a matter of months, even weeks, while bringing a lawsuit to trial can take a year or more.

Save Money. When cases are resolved earlier through ADR, the parties may save some of the money they would have spent on attorney fees, court costs, experts' fees, and other litigation expenses.

Increase Control Over the Process and the Outcome. In ADR, parties typically play a greater role in shaping both the process and its outcome. In most ADR processes, parties have more opportunity to tell their side of the story than they do at trial. Some ADR processes, such as mediation, allow the parties to fashion creative resolutions that are not available in a trial. Other ADR processes, such as arbitration, allow the parties to choose an expert in a particular field to decide the dispute.

Preserve Relationships. ADR can be a less adversarial and hostile way to resolve a dispute. For example, an experienced mediator can help the parties effectively communicate their needs and point of view to the other side. This can be an important advantage where the parties have a relationship to preserve.

increase Satisfaction. In a trial, there is typically a winner and a loser. The loser is not likely to be happy, and even the winner may not be completely satisfied with the outcome. ADR can help the parties find win-win solutions and achieve their real goals. This, along with all of ADR's other potential advantages, may increase the parties' overall satisfaction with both the dispute resolution process and the outcome.

Improve Attorney-Client Relationships. Attorneys may also benefit from ADR by being seen as problem-solvers rather than combatants. Quick, cost-effective, and satisfying resolutions are likely to produce happier clients and thus generate repeat business from clients and referrals of their friends and associates.

DISADVANTAGES OF ADR.

ADR may not be suitable for every dispute.

Loss of protections. If ADR is binding, the parties normally give up most court protections, including a decision by a judge or jury under formal rules of evidence and procedure, and review for legal error by an appellate court.

L1200 (Rev. January 2010)

Less discovery. There generally is less opportunity to find out about the other side's case with ADR than with litigation. ADR may not be effective if it takes place before the parties have sufficient information to resolve the dispute.

Additional costs. The neutral may charge a fee for his or her services. If a dispute is not resolved through ADR, the parties may have to put time and money into both ADR and a lawsuit.

Effect of delays if the dispute is not resolved. Lawsuits must be brought within specified periods of time, known as statues of limitation. Parties must be careful not to let a statute of limitations run out while a dispute is in an ADR process.

TYPES OF ADR IN CIVIL CASES.

The most commonly used ADR processes are arbitration, mediation, neutral evaluation and settlement conferences.

Arbitration. In arbitration, a neutral person called an "arbitrator" hears arguments and evidence from each side and then decides the outcome of the dispute. Arbitration is less formal than a trial, and the rules of evidence are often relaxed. Arbitration may be either "binding" or "nonbinding." Binding arbitration means that the parties waive their right to a trial and agree to accept the arbitrator's decision as final. Generally, there is no right to appeal an arbitrator's decision. Nonbinding arbitration means that the parties are free to request a trial if they do not accept the arbitrator's decision.

Cases for Which Arbitration May Be Appropriate. Arbitration is best for cases where the parties want another person to decide the outcome of their dispute for them but would like to avoid the formality, time, and expense of a trial. It may also be appropriate for complex matters where the parties want a decision-maker who has training or experience in the subject matter of the dispute.

Cases for Which Arbitration May Not Be Appropriate. If parties want to retain control over how their dispute is resolved, arbitration, particularly binding arbitration, is not appropriate. In binding arbitration, the parties generally cannot appeal the arbitrator's award, even if it is not supported by the evidence or the law. Even in nonbinding arbitration, if a party requests a trial and does not receive a more favorable result at trial than in arbitration, there may be penalties.

Mediation. In mediation, an impartial person called a "mediator" helps the parties try to reach a mutually acceptable resolution of the dispute. The mediator does not decide the dispute but helps the parties communicate so they can try to settle the dispute themselves. Mediation leaves control of the outcome with the parties.

Cases for Which Mediation May Be Appropriate. Mediation may be particularly useful when parties have a relationship they want to preserve. So when family members, neighbors, or business partners have a dispute, mediation may be the ADR process to use. Mediation is also effective when emotions are getting in the way of resolution. An effective mediator can hear the parties out and help them communicate with each other in an effective and nondestructive manner.

Cases for Which Mediation May <u>Not</u> Be Appropriate. Mediation may not be effective if one of the parties is unwilling to cooperate or compromise. Mediation also may not be effective if one of the parties has a significant advantage in power over the other. Therefore, it may not be a good choice if the parties have a history of abuse or victimization.

Neutral Evaluation. In neutral evaluation, each party gets a chance to present the case to a neutral person called an "evaluator." The evaluator then gives an opinion on the strengths and weaknesses of each party's evidence and arguments and about how the dispute could be resolved. The evaluator is

often an expert in the subject matter of the dispute. Although the evaluator's opinion is not binding, the parties typically use it as a basis for trying to negotiate a resolution of the dispute.

Cases for Which Neutral Evaluation May Be Appropriate. Neutral evaluation may be most appropriate in cases in which there are technical issues that require special expertise to resolve or the only significant issue in the case is the amount of damages.

Cases for Which Neutral Evaluation May Not Be Appropriate. Neutral evaluation may not be appropriate when there are significant personal or emotional barriers to resolving the dispute.

Settlement Conferences. Settlement conferences may be either mandatory or voluntary. In both types of settlement conferences, the parties and their attorneys meet with a judge or a neutral person called a "settlement officer" to discuss possible settlement of their dispute. The judge or settlement officer does not make a decision in the case but assists the parties in evaluating the strengths and weaknesses of the case and in negotiating a settlement. Settlement conferences are appropriate in any case where settlement is an option. Mandatory settlement conferences are often held close to the date a case is set for trial.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.

In addition to mediation, arbitration, neutral evaluation, and settlement conferences, there are other types of ADR, including conciliation, fact finding, mini-trials, and summary jury trials. Sometimes parties will try a combination of ADR types. The important thing is to try to find the type or types of ADR that are most likely to resolve your dispute.

To locate a dispute resolution program or neutral in your community:

- Contact the California Department of Consumer Affairs, Consumer Information Center, toll free, 1-800-852-5210
- Contact the Orange County Bar Association at (949) 440-6700
- Look in the Yellow Pages under "Arbitrators" or "Mediators"

Free mediation services are provided under the Orange County Dispute Resolution Program Act (DRPA) For information regarding DRPA, contact:

- Community Service Programs, Inc. (949) 851-3168
- Orange County Human Relations (714) 834-7198

For information on the Superior Court of California, County of Orange court ordered arbitration program, refer to Local Rule 360,

The Orange County Superior Court offers programs for Civil Mediation and Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE). For the Civil Mediation program, mediators on the Court's panel have agreed to accept a fee of \$300 for up to the first two hours of a mediation session. For the ENE program, members of the Court's panel have agreed to accept a fee of \$300 for up to three hours of an ENE session. Additional information on the Orange County Superior Court Civil Mediation and Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) pilot programs is available on the Court's website at www.occourts.org.

	FOR SOUTH LINE OF A
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name & Address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Tolonkous No.	
Telephone No.: Fax No. (Optional): E-Mail Address (Optional):	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Bar No:	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE JUSTICE CENTER:	İ
☐ Central - 700 Civic Center Dr. West, Santa Ana, CA 92701-4045 ☐ Civil Complex Center - 751 W. Santa Ana Bivd., Santa Ana, CA 92701-4512	-
🛘 Harbor-Laguna Hills Facility – 28141 Moutton Pkwy., Laguna Hills, CA 92653-1251 IJ Harbor – Newport Beach Facility – 4601 Jamboree Rd., Newport Beach, CA 92660-2695	
☐ North – 1275 N. Berkeley Ave., P.O. Box 5000, Fullerton, CA 92838-0500 ☐ West – 8141 13 th Street, Westminster, CA 92683-0500	
DIAINTER INCOME.	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER:	
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT:	
ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) STIPULATIO	N CASE NUMBER:
Plaintiff(s)/Petitioner(s)	
and defendant(s)/respondent(s),	
agree to the following dispute resolution process:	•
☐ Mediation	
Arbitration (must specify code)	
☐ Under section 1141.11 of the Code of Civil Procedure ☐ Under section 1280 of the Code of Civil Procedure	
☐ Neutral Case Evaluation	·
The ADR process must be completed no later than 90 days after the date o was referred, whichever is sooner.	f this Stipulation or the date the case
☐ I have an Order on Court Fee Waiver (FW-003) on file, and the selected pro bono services.	ADR Neutral(s) are eligible to provide
The ADR Neutral Selection and Party List is attached to this Stipulation	•
We understand that there may be a charge for services provided by neutral an ADR process does not extend the time periods specified in California Ru	s. We understand that participating in ries of Court rule 3.720 et seq.
Date;	
	IGNATURE OF PLAINTIFF OR ATTORNEY)
Date: (SIGNATURE OF DEFENDANT OR ATTORNEY) (S	IGNATURE OF DEFENDANT OR ATTORNEY)
(approve of peremonal or allocates) (a	IGHATORE OF DEPENDANT OR ALTORNEY)
ALTERNATIVE DISPLITE RESOLUTION (ADD	STIDIII ATION

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) STIPULATION

Approved for Optional Use L1270 (Rev. January 2010) JLA HON California Rules of Court, rule 3.221

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE JUSTICE CENTER: ☑ Central ~ 700 Civic Center Dr. West, Santa Ana, CA 92701-4045 ☑ Civil Complex Center - 751 W, Santa Ana Bivd., Santa Ana, CA 92701-4512 ☐ Harbor-Laguna Hills Facility — 23141 Moulton Pkwy., Laguna Hills, CA 92653-1251 ☐ Harbor — Newport Beach Facility — 4601 Jamboree Rd., Newport Beach, CA 92660-2595 ☐ North — 1275 N. Berkeley Ave., P.O. Box 5000, Fullerton, CA 92838-0500 ☐ West — 8141 13 th Street, Westminster, CA 92683-0500	FOR COURT USE ONLY
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER:	
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT:	
ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) NEUTRAL SELECTION AND PARTY LIST	Case Number:
□Arbitration □Mediation □Neutral Evaluation	

(ATTACH THIS FORM TO FORM L-1270, ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) STIPULATION, AND FILE IT WITH THE COURT.)

ADR NEUTRAL SELECTION

For Arbitration, parties may select a Neutral and Alternate or may have a Neutral randomly assigned from the Court's Panel. For Mediation and Neutral Evaluation, parties must select a Neutral and an Alternate below.
☐ For Arbitration, please check this box to have an arbitrator assigned at random.
The parties select the following Neutral and Alternate from the Court ADR Panel:
Neutral:
Alternate:

The above named Neutral will be notified by a Notice of Assignment of ADR Neutral that he or she has been selected as the neutral in this proceeding. In the event the neutral does not accept the assignment, a new Notice of Assignment of ADR Neutral will be sent to the above named Alternate. The assignment of the Alternate to serve as the Neutral does not extend the time to complete the ADR process.

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR)
NEUTRAL SELECTION AND PARTY LIST

Adopted for Mandatory Use L2748 (New February 2008)

www.occourts.org

Short Title:	Case Number:							
PARTY LIST (including Affiliates)								
The parties agree that the ADR Session may be conducted on one of the following dates: 1								
Attorney and Firm Name:								
Mailing Address:	CHy	ZIP						
Area Code and Telephone Number:	Fax							
Attorney for:								
Attorney and Firm Name:								
Malling Address:								
Area Code and Telephone Number:								
Attorney for:								
Attorney and Firm Name:								
Malling Address:	Ÿ							
Area Code and Telephone Number:								
Attorney for:	·	·						
Attorney and Firm Name:								
Mailing Address:		•						
Area Code and Telephone Number:	Fax							
Attorney for:								
This Party List must also include the full names, add subsidiary corporations, and of all insurance car discovery if any attorney or self-represented party is Attach additional copies of this page if necessar carriers.	riers. Counsel must Immediatel not listed on this Party List Form.	y notify the neutral upon						
	PUTE RESOLUTION (ADR)							
Adopted for Mandatory Use L2748 (New February 2008)		www.occourts.org						

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA ORANGE COUNTY - CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER

CIVIL DEPARTMENT CALENDAR SCHEDULING CHART

Ex Parte applications must comply with California Rules of Court, rules 3.1200 - 3.1207

Court Local Rules are located at <u>www.occourts.org</u>

					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· .	
F T		- 1					
					Ex-Parte	l. ·	
1			` '		Application	1	•• .
		- "		Telephonic	and Proposed	• •	
		•				-	
				Notice to	Order		
1		-		Courtroom	presented to		
1	1 1			the day	the court the		
ŀ		; ,•		before the	day before	Rulings	
1		Motion	Ex Parte	hearing but	the hearing	posted	
1.	Judicial	Days and	Days and	no later	but no later	on	Other
Dept.	Officer	Time	Time	than:	than:	Internet?	Call for available dates.
Dopu	0111001			-			900 101 01001
}					^. ·		
ì		Wednesday		4.5			.7
Į.	, , ,	1:30 p.m.			1		·
1 .		NOTE: Due			1]	ļ · ·
ľ	/	to Court]		,		
-	}	Closure on			ļ . ·	Ē.	
		the third				{ ,	Notice must be given to opposing
C19	MARGINES	Wednesday	Daily	10:00 a.m.	10:30 a.m.	No	party by 10:00 a.m. day before ex
] ""	657-622-5219	of the	1:30 p.m.	, water		- '	party by 10.00 at 11.00 bole cx
1.		month.	[
		Motions are			'	1	
1	1	heard on) <u> </u>	,	1 .	
ļ. " .		Thursday at	}	` '			
	3	1:30 p.m.					
		1100 0				1 :	
			l.,			· ·	
							
	l	_ : : :	1		,	!	
C21	MCEACHEN	Tuesday	M, T, W, Th	12:00 p.m.	3:00 p.m.	yes	
'	657-622-5221	1:30 p.m.	9:00 a.m.			,	
1.	. •	1		l			
			1	•			If Monday is a holiday, law and motion
	ļ		Ì	· ·	•		Is heard on Thursday at 1:30 p.m.
1			Ì				NOTE: for L&M, Dept. C14 requires
1		1			1		parties call the dept. to check
1				1		,	availability of a motion date prior to
i	l .	}		}	4:00 p.m. if day	1	filing their motion by calling (657) 622-
			•		prior to the Ex	1	5214. To schedule an ex parte matter
	•	1	1	l	Parte hearing is	1	the moving party/attorney shall contact
1			TWITE	1	Monday-	Yes - noon	the courtroom clerk (657) 622-5214 to
C14	MILLER	Tuesday	T, W, Th, F	9:00 a.m.	Thursday, 3:00	day of	reserve a date no later than noon, the
1.	657-622-5214	1:30 p.m.	8:30 a.m.		P.M. if day prior	hearing	day prior to the hearing.
1	1				to the Ex Parte		Teleconference appearances are
1	•	1		1	hearing is	.	voluntary and do not require consent
		Į.	٠.	1	Friday.		by court or other parties. However, the
1	1	1		1 .		1	court reserves the right to reject any
1	.]	1		1		ł.	request. Teleconference appearances
	1	Į.	1	1		S	are conducted in conformity with the
		ľ		1		ŀ	guidelines, which are available by
1			1 .			l	calling CourtCall, LLC at (310)914-
1	1.					[7884 or (888) 88-COURT
-		 	 	 	 	 	
	į.					[If there is no appearance for
1	i		1	.		1	argument, the court will order the
		1	l	1		1	tentative ruling to become effective
1	MOBERLY	Friday	M, T, W, Th,] '		Yes - 4:30	and final the date of the hearing. To
C12	657-622-5212	1:30 p.m.	1:30 p.m.	Noon	3:00 p.m.	p.m. the	schedule an ex parte matter the
,	201-055-05 15	1100 bath	1			day before	moving party/attorney shall contact the
1	1	1 .	1	1		1	courtroom clerk (657) 622-5212 to
1			1	j		1	reserve a date no later than noon, the
		1	1				day prior to the hearing.
				<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA ORANGE COUNTY - CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER

ORANGE COUNTY - CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER CIVIL DEPARTMENT CALENDAR SCHEDULING CHART

Ex Parte applications must comply with California Rules of Court, rules 3.1200 – 3.1207

Court Local Rules are located at www.occourts.org

_		·	· :				
	_	. • •			Ex Parte		
	,		- 1		Application		,' `
	, ,		. ,	Telephonic	and Proposed		
			.	Notice to	Order		
				Courtroom	presented to		
					the court the	•	
		• • • •	·.	the day			
				before the	day before	Rulings	,
	•	Motion	, Ex Parte	hearing but	the hearing	posted	
1	Judicial	Days and	Days and	no later	but no later	OR	Other
Dept.	Officer	Time	Time	than:	than:	Internet?	Call for available dates.
Dobe	0411001	- 14.1.0			4:00 p.m. if day		
(1				prior to the Ex	·	` · ·
					Parte hearing is		,
					Monday-		
C16 ·	MONROE	Tuesday	T,W, Th	Noon	Thursday; 3:00		If Monday is a holiday, law and motion
L.0	657-622-5216	2:00 p.m.	.8:30 a.m.	110911	P.M. If day prior	Yes - by	is heard on Thursday at 2:00 p.m.
1		'	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		to the Ex Parte	4:00 p.m.	
]			· ·	hearing is	the day	
	-				Friday.	before	
					i ituay.	001016	
						100	Téleconference appearances are
			٠. ١				voluntary and do not require consent
ļ 🚵			. 1				by court or other parties. However, the
1			_			Yes - by	court reserves to right to reject any
C23	MOSS	Friday	Dally	Not required	12:00 p.m.	4:00 p.m.	request. Teleconference appearances
1 023	657-622-5223	10:00 a.m.	8:30 a.m.	2 to 2 to 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	(man ham	the day	are conducted in conformity with the
1 . 1	1					before	guidelines, which are available by
1.	1				• * *	Ì	calling CourtCall, LLC at (319)914-
<u> </u>	1		. 1				7884 or (888) 88-COURT
	1					<u></u>	120.01 (000) 00 000111
	1			:		Yes - by	
	MUÑOZ	Thursday	M, T, W, Th	-		4:00 p.m.	
C13	657-622-5213	2:00 pm	8:30 a.m.	10:00 a.m.	Noon,	the day	ì
1	001-044-0413	2.00 pm	O'OO STILL			before	{
L	1					Deraie.	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	-			4:00 p.m. If	. :]
1		Thursday	M, T, W, Th	day prior to		!	
1.		Unlimited/	1:30 p.m.	the hearing is	M, T, W, Th,	į.	
	MYCDO		Fri 11:00	Monday-	10:00 a.m.	1	}
C64	MYERS	Omni 1:30		Thursday;	day of ex parte;	No	
1	657-622-5264	p.m.	a.m	3:00 P.M. if	Friday 9:00 a.m.	1	· ·
1		Limited	emergency	day prior to	day of ex parte	1	
1 .	'	2:30 p.m.	only	the hearing is	,	}	1
				Friday.		<u></u>	·
-	-,	 				·	
		1]	24 hours the	NA T SAL TO	Yes-by	Coulogol must recove a motion data
	NAKAMURA	Thursday	M, T, W, Th	24 hours, the	M, T, W, Th, 10:00 a.m.	4:00 p.m.	Counsel must reserve a motion date
C4	657-622-5204	2:00 p.m.	1:30 p.m.	day before the		the day	with the courtroom, prior to setting the
1	1001 0000	1		hearing	day of ex parte	before	motion.
		1 .	•		L		
		1 .		<u> </u>			
1	1	1	ł	1		i	Teleconference appearances are
1		1	ţ	Į.			voluntary and do not require consent
1	1 .	i ·	i		1	1	by court or other parties. However, the
1	1	l	l.		[1 :	court reserves to right to reject any
1	1.	1	ξ , .		1	Yes-by	request. Teleconference appearances
1	DEBLE		M T M TE		10:00 a.m.		are conducted in conformity with the
C32	PERK	Friday	M, T, W, Th	Noon.	the day of	3:00 p.m.	guidelines, which are available by
1	657-622-5232	11:00 a.m.	9:00 a.m.	1	hearing	the day	calling CourtCall, LLC at (310)914-
	1	1.	1			before	7884 or (888) 88-COURT. If there is
1 .		1.	1	Į.	t .		no appearance for argument, the court
1	1	1	1	1 '		1	will order the tentative ruling to
1	1	1			1	1	become effective and final the date of
1 .	1.	}		1	1	1	the hearing
1	1			1	L		4121001110
							

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Namio and Address Kevin L. Elder, Esq. (State Bar # 14 PENNEY & ASSOCIATES		TELEPHONE NO.; (916) 786-7662 FAX NO.;	FOR COU	RT USE ONLY
6536 LONETREE BOULEVARD		(916) 786-0144		
ROCKLIN, CA 95765		(210) 700-01-1-1		
ATTORNEY FOR (name): Plaintiffs REUBEN		III, et al.		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COU				
STREET ADDRESS: 700 CIVIC CENTER I MAILING ADDRESS: 700 CIVIC CENTER I				
CITY AND ZIP CODE: SANTA ANA, 92701	MIVE WEST			
BRANCH NAME: CENTRAL JUSTICE	CENTER			
PLAINTIFF: REUBEN ISHIL, MAYU				
DEFENDANT: SEARS, ROEBUCK AN			CASE NUMBER:	
STATEMENT (Personal injury o			•	00364742
To (name of one defendant only): FRIGIDA	AIRE		•	
Plaintiff (name of one plaintiff only): REUBE				
seeks damages in the above-entitled action, a	s follows:			AMOUNT
1. General damages				
a. Pain, suffering, and inconvenience	·			
b. Emotional distress.	***************************************		\$	
c. Loss of consortium	******************************	*********************	\$	
d. X Loss of sociey and companionship	(wrongful death actions	only)	\$	2,000,000.00
e. Other (specify)			\$	
f. Other (specify)				
g. Continued on Attachment 1.g.			***********	
2. Special damages				
a. Medical expenses (to date)			\$	-
b. Future medical expenses (present				
c. Loss of earnings (to date)				
	-		•	
e. Property damage f. X Funeral expenses (wrongful death)				
· · · ·				
g Future contributions (present value	.* *			
h. Value of personal service, advice, o	or training (<i>wrongtul dea</i>	th actions only)	\$	

j. Uther (specify)	***************************************		\$	
k. Continued on Attachment 2.k.				
3. Punitive damages: Plaintiff reserves when pursuing a judgment in the suit fi		re damages in the am	ount of (specify) \$	
Date: 4/28/2010				
Keyin L. Elder		(SKINATI	IRE OF PLAINTIFF OR ATTOR	NEY FOR PLAINTIFF)
Free or mine to the country of	(Proof of service			Page 1 of 2
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California	STATEMENT OF	DAMAGES	Çode af Ch	Il Procedure, §§ 425.11, 425.115 www.courlinfo.ca.gov

CIV-050 [Rev. January 1, 2007]

(Personal Injury or Wrongful Death)

LexisNexis® Automated California Judicial Council Forms

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name and Address): Kevin L. Elder, Esq. (State Bar # 1480)	34)	TELEPHONE NO.: (916) 786-7662	FOR COUR	T USE ONLY
PENNEY & ASSOCIATES		FAX NO.:		
6536 LONETREE BOULEVARD ROCKLIN, CA 95765		(916) 786-0144		
ATTORNEY FOR (name): Plaintiffs REUBEN and	d MAYUMI ISH	III, et al.		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY				
STREET ADDRESS: 700 CIVIC CENTER DR				•
MAILING ADDRESS: 700 CIVIC CENTER DRECTLY AND ZIP CODE: SANTA ANA, 92701	IVE WEST			
BRANCH NAME: CENTRAL JUSTICE CE	NTER			
PLAINTIFF: REUBEN ISHII and MAYO				
DEFENDANT: SEARS, ROEBUCK AND			CASE NUMBER:	
STATEMENT OF (Personal Injury or W			30-2010-0	0364742
To (name of one defendant only): FRIGIDAIR	E	·		
Plaintiff (name of one plaintiff only): MAYUMI	ISHII	-	•	
seeks damages in the above-entitled action, as fo	ilows:			AMOUNT
General damages a. Pain, suffering, and inconvenience		•	¢	AMOONT
b. X Emotional distress.			•	5 000 000 00
c. Loss of consortium			•	
d. X Loss of sociey and companionship (wr	•		•	
			-	
e. Other (specify) f. Other (specify)				
			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
g. L				
a. Medical expenses (to date)		1451	\$	
b. Future medical expenses (present value			•	
c. Loss of earnings (to date)				
d Loss of future earning capacity (preser			•	
e. Property damage				
f. X Funeral expenses (wrongful death action				
g. Tuture contributions (present value) (w	rongful death action	s only)	\$	
h. Value of personal service, advice, or tr	aining <i>(wrongful dea</i>	th actions only)	\$	
i. Other (specify)			\$	
1 Other (operated)			•	
k. Continued on Attachment 2.k.				
3. Punitive damages: Plaintiff reserves the		e damages in the am	ount of (specify) \$	
when pursuing a judgment in the suit filed Date: 4/28/2010	against you.	A	\bigcirc	
		(7/)		
Kevin L. Elder		ISIGNAT	JRE OF PLAINTIFF OR ATTORNS	EY FOR PLAINTIFF!
Carl Process and analysis	(Proof of service		31 1 - 411111 - 411111 - 4111	Page 1 of 2
Form Adopted for Mendalory Use	STATEMENT OF	DAMAGES	Code of Civil	Procedure, §§ 425.11, 425.115

(Personal injury or Wrongful Death)

LexisNexis® Automated California Judicial Council Forms

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name and Address		TELEPHONE NO.:	FOR CO	URT USE ONLY
Kevin L. Elder, Esq. (State Bar # 148	034)	(916) 786-7662		
PENNEY & ASSOCIATES 6536 LONETREE BOULEVARD		FAX NO.:		
ROCKLIN, CA 95765		(916) 786-0144		
ATTORNEY FOR (name): Plaintiffs REUBEN &	and MAYUMI ISH	⊞. eta∐		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUN				
STREET ADDRESS: 700 CIVIC CENTER D	RIVE WEST			
MAILING ADDRESS: 700 CIVIC CENTER D	RIVE WEST		•	
CITY AND ZIP CODE: SANTA ANA, 92701				
BRANCH NAME: CENTRAL JUSTICE C				
PLAINTIFF: REUBEN ISHII, MAYUI			•	
DEFENDANT: SEARS, ROEBUCK AND) CO., et al.		CASE NUMBER;	
STATEMENT O (Personal Injury or	r DAMAGES Wrongful Death)			-00364742
To (name of one defendant only): FRIGIDA		<u></u>		
Plaintiff (name of one plaintiff only): KAYDE		ough his Guardia	n ad Litem, REU	BEN ISHII
seeks damages in the above-entitled action, as			, 22144211, 2121	
1. General damages	·			AMOUNT
a. Pain, suffering, and Inconvenience.			·····	\$
b. X Emotional distress		<pre><pd><pd><pd><pd><pre></pre></pd></pd></pd></pd></pre>	***************************************	\$ 500,000.00
c. Loss of consortium		***************************************	***************************************	\$
d. X Loss of sociey and companionship (wrongful death actions	only)	*******************	\$ 200,000.00
e. Other (specify)				\$
f. Other (specify)				\$
g. Continued on Attachment 1.g.				·
2. Special damages	•		•	•
a. Medical expenses (to date)	***************************************		************	\$
b. Tuture medical expenses (present v	alue)	******************************	*******	\$
c. Loss of earnings (to date)	, - 4 		***************************************	\$
d. Loss of future earning capacity (pres				,
e. Property damage				\$
f. Funeral expenses (wrongful death a	ctions only)			\$
g. Tuture contributions (present value)	(wrongful death action	s only)	****************	\$
h. Value of personal service, advice, or	training (wrongful dea	th actions only)		\$
i. Other (specify)	*******************************	******************************		\$
j. Other (specify)			***************************************	S
k. Continued on Attachment 2.k.				
Punitive damages: Plaintiff reserves t when pursuing a judgment in the suit file		e damages in the am	ount of (specify) \$	
Date: 4/28/2010		1	5	
Kevin L. Elder			K/V	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	/Dranf of		RE OF PLAINTIFF OR ATTO	RNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)
	(Proof of service		A-J. (-	Page 1 of 2
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California	STATEMENT OF		Code of C	fvii Procedure, §§ 425.11, 425.115 www.courtinfo.ca.gov

Kevin L. Elder, Esq. (State Bar # 14 PENNEY & ASSOCIATES		(916) 786-7662	rwi oowii	OOL WILL
6536 LONETREE BOULEVARD		916) 786-0144		
ROCKLIN, CA 95765	,			
ATTORNEY FOR (name): Plaintiffs REUBEN		, et al.		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COU				
STREET ADDRESS: 700 CIVIC CENTER I		i		
CITY AND ZIP CODE SANTA ANA, 92701	NUTAE AAEDI			
BRANCH NAME: CENTRAL JUSTICE (CENTER			
PLAINTIFF: REUBEN ISHII, MAYU	MI ISHII, et al.			
DEFENDANT: SEARS, ROEBUCK AN			CASE NUMBER:	
STATEMENT (30-2010-0	0364742
	r Wrongful Death)	l	30-2010-0	VJUT142
To (name of one defendant only): FRIGIDA Plaintiff (name of one plaintiff only): KAYLE seeks damages in the above-entitled action, a	EE ISHII, by and thro	ugh Successor in	Interest REUBEN	
1. Ge <u>nera</u> l damages				AMOUNT
a. Pain, suffering, and inconvenience			······ \$_	
b. Emotional distress	*************************************		\$_	
c. Loss of consortium			\$_	
d. Loss of sociey and companionship	(wrongful death actions o	nly)	\$_	
e. Cother (specify)			\$_	
f. Other (specify)				
g. Continued on Attachment 1.g.				
2. Special damages	•			
a. X Medical expenses (to date)		***********************	\$_	100,000.00
b. Tuture medical expenses (present	value)	+4+4+4+4+1+1+++++++++++++++++++++++++++	\$_	
c. Loss of earnings (to date)	***************************************	***************************************	\$_	
d. Loss of future earning capacity (pre	esent value)	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	····· \$_	
e. Property damage		**********************	\$_	
f. Funeral expenses (wrongful death	actions only)		\$_	
g. Tuture contributions (present value) (wrongful death actions	only)	\$_	
h. Value of personal service, advice, o	or training (wrongful death	actions only)	\$_	·
1. Other (specify)	***************************************	***************************************	\$_	
j. Other (specify)	*********************************	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	\$_	
k, Continued on Attachment 2.k.	• :			
3. X Punitive damages: Plaintiff reserves when pursuing a judgment in the suit fi		damages in the amo	ount of (specify)\$_	Unknown
Date: 4/28/2010				
Kevin L. Blder		(SIGNATIO	RE OF PLAINTIFF OR ATTORNE	Y FOR PLAINTIFF
tite an enni bancy	(Proof of service o			Page 1 of 2
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California CNACASI Council of California	STATEMENT OF D		Code of Civil I	Procedure, §§ 425.11, 425.115 www.countrio.ce.gov

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name and Address):	TELEPHONE NO.:		RT USE ONLY
Kevin L. Elder, Esq. (State Bar # 1480 PENNEY & ASSOCIATES	(>10),00,10	62	
6536 LONETREE BOULEVARD	FAX NO.:		
ROCKLIN, CA 95765	(916) 786-01	44	
ATTORNEY FOR (name): Plaintiffs REUBEN at	nd MAYUMI ISHII, et al.		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNT	Y OF ORANGE		
STREET ADDRESS: 700 CIVIC CENTER DR			
MAILING ADDRESS: 700 CIVIC CENTER DR	IVE WEST		
CITY AND ZIP CODE: SANTA ANA, 92701 BRANCH NAME: CENTRAL JUSTICE CE	NITIOD		
PLAINTIFF: REUBEN ISHII, MAYUM			
DEFENDANT: SEARS, ROEBUCK AND			
STATEMENT OF		CASE NUMBER:	
(Personal Injury or V		30-2010-	00364742
To (name of one defendant only): ELECTRO	LUX MAJOR APPLIANCES N	ORTH AMERICA	
Plaintiff (name of one plaintiff only): REUBEN			
seeks damages in the above-entitled action, as f	ollows:		
1. General damages			AMOUNT
a. Pain, suffering, and inconvenience			

c. Loss of consortium	***************************************	\$	
d. X Loss of sociey and companionship (w	rongful death actions only)	\$	2,000,000.00
e. C Other (specify)		\$	
f. Other (specify)	***************************************	\$	
g. Continued on Attachment 1.g.			-
2. Special damages			
a. Medical expenses (to date)		\$	
b. Future medical expenses (present val	ue)	\$	•
	nt value)		
e. Property damage		\$	
f. X Funeral expenses (wrongful death act	ions only)	\$	15,000.00
g. Tuture contributions (present value) (v	vrongful death actions only)	\$	
h. Value of personal service, advice, or to	raining (wrongful death actions only)	\$	-
t. Cther (specify)		\$	
Other (once)(c)	***************************************		
k. Continued on Attachment 2.k.			
Punitive damages: Plaintiff reserves the when pursuing a judgment in the suit filed Date: 4/28/2010 Amage: 4-728/2010	e right to seek punitive damages in the against you,	amount of (specify)\$	
Kevin L. Elder		VATURE OF PLAINTIFF OR ATTORNI	EV FOR SI AIAMEET
het - with things	(Proof of service on reverse)		Page 1 of 2
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California	STATEMENT OF DAMAGES	Code of Civil	Procedure, §§ 426.11, 425.116 www.courtinfo.ca.gov

CIV-050 [Rev. January 1, 2007]

(Personal Injury or Wrongful Death)

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name and Address): Kevin L. Elder, Esq. (State Bar # 148034)	TELEPHONE NO.:	FOR COURT	USE ONLY
PENNEY & ASSOCIATES	(916) 786-7662		
6536 LONETREE BOULEVARD	FAX NO.:		
ROCKLIN, CA 95765	(916) 786-0144		
ATTORNEY FOR (name): Plaintiffs REUBEN and MAYUM			
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANG	GE		
STREET ADDRESS: 700 CIVIC CENTER DRIVE WEST	ŀ		
MAILING ADDRESS: 700 CIVIC CENTER DRIVE WEST CITY AND ZIP CODE: SANTA ANA, 92701		•	
BRANCH NAME: CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER			
PLAINTIFF: REUBEN ISHII and MAYUMI ISHII.	at al		
DEFENDANT: SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., ET AL			
STATEMENT OF DAMAGES		CASE NUMBER:	
(Personal Injury or Wrongful Dea	th)	30-2010-0	0364742
To (name of one defendant only): ELECTROLUX MAJOI	R APPLIANCES NOR	TH AMERICA	
Plaintiff (name of one plaintiff only): MAYUMI ISHII			
seeks damages in the above-entitled action, as follows:			AMOUNT
General damages a. Pain, suffering, and inconvenience	************************************	\$	
b. X Emotional distress.		-	
c. Loss of consortium		-	
d. X Loss of sociey and companionship (wrongful death a		_	
e. Cother (specify)			2,000,000.00
f, Other (specify)			
g. Continued on Attachment 1.g.	************************************	······································	
2. Special damages			
a. Medical expenses (to date)		\$	
b. Tuture medical expenses (present value)			
c. Loss of earnings (to date)			
d. Loss of future earning capacity (present value)			·
e. Property damage		•	
f. X Funeral expenses (wrongful death actions only)			
g. Tuture contributions (present value) (wrongful death a	actions only)	\$	
h. Value of personal service, advice, or training (wrongft			
i. Other (specify)		- \$_	
j. Other (specify)		e e	
k. Continued on Attachment 2.k.			
3. Punitive damages: Plaintiff reserves the right to seek p	unitive damages in the amo	ount of (specify) \$	
when pursuing a judgment in the suit filed against you.	,	^	
Date: 4/28/2010	▶ 140m	W	
Kevin L. Elder	MAN	W//\	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (Proof of se	(SigNatul) (SigNatul)	RE OF PLAINTIFF OR ATTORNE	Y FOR PLAINTIFF) Page 1 of 2
orm Adopted for Mandatory Use STATEMEN	T OF DAMAGES	Code of Civil F	rocedure, §§ 425.11, 425.115 Www.courlinfo.ca.gov
CYV-050 [Rev. January 1, 2007] (Personal Injury	or Wrongful Death)		пи полинима Вод

LexisNexis® Automated California Judicial Council Forms

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name and Address Kevin L. Elder, Esq. (State Bar # 14		TELEPHONE NO.:	FOR COURT	USE ONLY
PENNEY & ASSOCIATES	·003·1)	(916) 786-7662 FAX NO.:		
6536 LONETREE BOULEVARD		(916) 786-0144		
ROCKLIN, CA 95765		• •		
ATTORNEY FOR (name): Plaintiffs REUBEN		II, et al.		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COURTER I				
MAILING ADDRESS: 700 CIVIC CENTER I				
CITY AND ZIP CODE: SANTA ANA, 92701				
BRANCH NAME: CENTRAL JUSTICE (
PLAINTIFF: REUBEN ISHII, MAYU		,		•
DEFENDANT: SEARS, ROEBUCK AN STATEMENT			CASE NUMBER:	
(Personal Injury o	r Wrongful Death)		30-2010-00	364742
To (name of one defendant only): ELECTR	OT TIV MATOR AD	OT LANCER NOT	THE ALMODICA	
Plaintiff (name of one plaintiff only): KAYDE	EN ISHII. by and trh	ough his Guardia	en ad Litem, REUBE	N ISHII
seeks damages in the above-entitled action, a	s follows:	ough mo caaran	ar aa Dhong KDODL	A V IOITH
1. General damages				AMOUNT
a. Pain, suffering, and inconvenience	*******************************		\$_	
b. X Emotional distress				
c. Loss of consortium			 \$	
d. X Loss of sociey and companionship	(wrongful death actions	only) ,		200,000.00
			\$	
A (A)			\$	
g. Continued on Attachment 1.g.		***************************************		
2. Special damages				
a. Medical expenses (to date)			\$	
b. Tuture medical expenses (present to	/alue)	***************************************	\$	
c. Loss of earnings (to date)				
d. Loss of future earning capacity (pre-				
e. Property damage				
f. Funeral expenses (wrongful death a				
g. Tuture contributions (present value)				
h. Walue of personal service, advice, o	r training (wrongful deatl	actions only)	\$ <u> </u>	
i. Other (specify)	******************************		\$	
2 04 (,		_	
k. Continued on Attachment 2.k,				
 Punitive damages: Plaintiff reserves when pursuing a judgment in the suit fil 	the right to seek punitive ed against you.	damages in the am	ount of (specify) \$	
Date: 4/28/2010		٨	OP	•
Kevin L. Elder		7/X	71	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(Proof of consises of	(SIGNATU	RE OF PLAINTIFF OR ATTORNEY I	FOR PLAINTIFF)
nom Adminat for Mandatons Line	(Proof of service of		Code of Chill Dec	Page 1 of 2 cedure, §§ 425.11, 425.115
orm Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California :UK-050 (Rev. January 1, 2007)	STATEMENT OF D		Coup of GAN PTO	Www.courtinto.ca.gov

LexisNexis® Automated California Judicial Council Forms

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name and Address): Kevin L. Elder, Esq. (State Bar # 1480)	TELEPHONE NO.: (916) 786-7662	FOR COURT USE ONLY
PENNEY & ASSOCIATES	FAX NO.;	•
6536 LONETREE BOULEVARD	(916) 786-0144	
ROCKLIN, CA 95765	(510) 700-0144	
ATTORNEY FOR (name): Plaintiffs REUBEN ar		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNT STREET ADDRESS: 700 CIVIC CENTER DR	Y OF ORANGE	
MARLING ADDRESS: 700 CIVIC CENTER DR		
CITY AND ZIP CODE: SANTA ANA, 92701	TAT MERI	
BRANCH NAME: CENTRAL JUSTICE CE	NTER	
PLAINTIFF: REUBEN ISHII, MAYUM	I ISHII, et al.	
DEFENDANT: SEARS, ROEBUCK AND	CO., et al.	
STATEMENT OF	DWINAGES 3	CASE NUMBER:
(Personal Injury or V	Vrongful Death)	30-2010-00364742
To (name of one defendant only): ELECTROI Plaintiff (name of one plaintiff only): KAYLEE	ISHII, by and through Successor in	TH AMERICA Interest REUBEN ISHII
seeks damages in the above-entitled action, as for	ollows:	AMOUNT
General damages a. Pain, suffering, and inconvenience	***************************************	\$
		\$
		\$
d. Loss of sociey and companionship (w	rongful death actions only)	····· \$
f. Other (specify)		\$
g. Continued on Attachment 1.g.		
2. Special damages	·	·
a. X Medical expenses (to date)		
	ю)	
c. Loss of earnings (to date)		<u> </u>
d. Loss of future earning capacity (preser	nt value)	\$
e. Property damage		\$
f. Funeral expenses (wrongful death acti	ons only)	\$
	rongful death actions only)	
	aining (wrongful death actions only)	s
F	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	\$
	***************************************	\$
k. Continued on Attachment 2.k.		
Punitive damages: Plaintiff reserves the when pursuing a judgment in the suit filed	right to seek punitive damages in the amo against you.	ount of (specify) \$ Unknown
Date: 4/28/2010		
Kevin L. Elder		
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(Proof of service on reverse)	E OF PLAINTIFF OR ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California CIV-050 (Rev. January 1, 2007) (F	STATEMENT OF DAMAGES	Page 1 of 2 Code of Civil Procedure, §§ 425.11, 425.115 www.courtinio.ca.gov
es a see first serient if the little	'ersonal injury or Wrongful Death)	· ·

			10961012
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SigNATU (Proof of service on reverse)	REOF PLAINTIFF OR ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF) Page 1 of 2
	Kevin L. Elder	gainst you.	R
	ontinued on Attachment 2.k.		
-		***************************************	\$
i. 🗀 o	ther (specify)		\$
h. 🔲 Ve	alue of personal service, advice, or train	ning (wrongful death actions only)	\$
	uture contributions (present value) (wro	• •	
f. 🔯 Fu	ineral expenses (wrongful death action	s only)	\$ <u>15,000.00</u>
ę. 🎞 Pi	roperty damage	***************************************	\$
d. 🗀 Lo	ess of future earning capacity (present	value)	\$
			······································
		•	\$
			\$
2. Special da	amages		
	ontinued on Attachment 1.g.		***************************************
	ther (specify)		
	ther (specify)		
			\$ 2,000,000.00
	oss of consortium		
	•		\$
1. General d a. De	amages ain, suffering, and inconvenience		
seeks damag	ges in the above-entitled action, as folio		AMOUNT
	one defendent only): SEARS, ROE ne of one plaintiff only): REUBEN IS		
	STATEMENT OF D (Personal Injury or Wro		30-2010-00364742
	T: SEARS, ROEBUCK AND C	O., et al.	CASE NUMBER:
	F: REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI		
	xxxe: SANTA ANA, 92701 xame: CENTRAL JUSTICE CEN	TER	
MAILING ADDR	RESS: 700 CIVIC CENTER DRIV		
	COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY (RESS: 700 CIVIC CENTER DRIV		
	OR (name): Plaintiffs REUBEN and		
ROCKLIN,		(916) 786-0144	
	ASSOCIATES TREE BOULEVARD	FAX NO.:	
Kevin L. I	ARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name and Address): Blder, Esq. (State Bar # 148034	TELEPHONE NO: (916) 786-7662	FOR COURT USE ONLY

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name and Address): Kevin L. Elder, Esq. (State Bar # 148034)	TELEPHONE NO:	FOR COURT	USE ONLY
PENNEY & ASSOCIATES	(916) 786-7662		
6536 LONETREE BOULEVARD	(916) 786-0144		
ROCKLIN, CA 95765	(910) 100-0144		
ATTORNEY FOR (name): Plaintiff's REUBEN and MAYUM			
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORAN			
STREET ADDRESS: 700 CIVIC CENTER DRIVE WEST MAILING ADDRESS: 700 CIVIC CENTER DRIVE WEST			
CITY AND ZIP CODE: SANTA ANA, 92701			
BRANCH NAME: CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER			
PLAINTIFF: REUBEN ISHII and MAYUMI ISHII.	et al.		
DEFENDANT: SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., ET A	L	CASE NUMBER:	
STATEMENT OF DAMAGES (Personal Injury or Wrongful De	ath)	30-2010-0	0364742
To (name of one defendant only): SEARS, ROEBUCK A Plaintiff (name of one plaintiff only): MAYUMI ISHII seeks damages in the above-entitled action, as follows:	ND CO.		
1. General damages			AMOUNT
a. Pain, suffering, and inconvenience		\$	
b. X Emotional distress.			
c. Loss of consortium		\$_	
d. X Loss of sociey and companionship (wrongful death	actions only)	\$_	2,000,000.00
e. Other (specify)		\$ _	
f. Other (specify)			
g. Continued on Attachment 1.g.			
2. Special damages			•
a. Medical expenses (to date)			
b. Future medical expenses (present value)			
c. Loss of earnings (to date)		·	
d. Loss of future earning capacity (present value)			
e. Property damage			
f. X Funeral expenses (wrongful death actions only)			
g. Future contributions (present value) (wrongful death		_	
h. Value of personal service, advice, or training (wrong	ful death actions only)	\$_	
i. U Other (specify)			
j. Other (specify)			
k. L. Continued on Attachment 2.k.			
Punitive damages: Plaintiff reserves the right to seek when pursuing a judgment in the suit filed against you. Date: 4/28/2010 Kevin L. Elder	punitive damages in the am	cunt of (specify) \$	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		RE OF PLAINTIFF OR ATTORNEY	FOR PLAINTIFF)
	ervice on reverse)	Code of Civil P	Page 1 of 2 recedure, §§ 425.11, 426.115
orm Accepted for Mandatory Use STATEWE	TO OF MARKAGED		

(Personal Injury or Wrongful Death)

www.courtinfo.ca.gov

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name and Address):	TELEPHONE NO.:	FOR COURT	USE ONLY
Kevin L. Elder, Esq. (State Bar # 1480 PENNEY & ASSOCIATES	(***)		
6536 LONETREE BOULEVARD	FAX NO.:		
ROCKLIN, CA 95765	(916) 786-0144		
ATTORNEY FOR (name): Plaintiff's REUBEN an	d MAYUMI ISHII, et al.		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNT			·
STREET ADDRESS: 700 CIVIC CENTER DR			
MAILING ADDRESS: 700 CIVIC CENTER DR	IVE WEST		
CITY AND 20P COSE: SANTA ANA, 92701 BRANCH NAME: CENTRAL JUSTICE CE	NTED		
PLAINTIFF: REUBEN ISHII, MAYUM			
DEFENDANT: SEARS, ROEBUCK AND			
STATEMENT OF		CASE NUMBER:	
(Personal Injury or V		30-2010-00	364742
To (name of one defendant only): SEARS, RC Plaintiff (name of one plaintiff only): KAYDEN seeks damages in the above-entitled action, as for	ISHII, by and trhough his Guardia	nn ad Litem, REUBI	EN ISHII
1. General damages			AMOUNT
a. Pain, suffering, and inconvenience		\$ <u>_</u>	70.000
b. X Emotional distress		\$	500,000.00
c. Loss of consortium		 \$_	
d. X Loss of sociey and companionship (w			

g. Continued on Attachment 1.g.	***************************************	······································	
2. Special damages	3 (1) 1 (1)		
a. Medical expenses (to date)	#10417-0-044	\$	
	/е)	·	
	nt value)		
The state of the s		· · · ·	
	ons only)		
	rongful death actions only)		
	aining (wrongful death actions only)		
1		_	
Other (proping)		•	
k, Continued on Attachment 2.k.			
Punitive damages: Plaintiff reserves the	right to sonk qualities damages in the am	aunt of fannoife ! \$	
when pursuing a judgment in the suit filed		очик от (а <i>роспу)</i> Ф	
Date: 4/28/2010	. 1	\mathcal{O}	
Kevin L. Elder			
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(Proof of service on reverse)	FE OF PLAINTIFF OR ATTORNEY	•
orm Adopted for Mandatory Use	STATEMENT OF DAMAGES	Code of Civil Pr	Page 1 of 2 ocedure, §§ 425.11, 425.115
orm Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of Celifornia			www.couilinfo.ca.gov

CIV-050 [Rev. January 1, 2007]

(Personal Injury or Wrongful Death)

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name and Address): Kevin L. Elder, Esq. (State Bar # 148034)	1) YELEPHONE NO.:	FOR COURT US	EONLY
PENNEY & ASSOCIATES	4) (916) 786-7662 FAX NO::		
6536 LONETREE BOULEVARD	(916) 786-0144	1	
ROCKLIN, CA 95765	(210) 700-0144		
ATTORNEY FOR (name): Plaintiffs REUBEN and			
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY			
STREET ADDRESS: 700 CIVIC CENTER DRIV MAILING ADDRESS: 700 CIVIC CENTER DRIV			
CITY AND ZIP CODE: SANTA ANA, 92701	AE MESI		
BRANCH NAME: CENTRAL JUSTICE CEN	TER		
PLAINTIFF: REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI	ISHII, et al.]	
DEFENDANT: SEARS, ROEBUCK AND C	O., et al.		· -
STATEMENT OF D		CASE NUMBER:	64740
(Personal Injury or Wr	ongrui Death)	30-2010-003	04/42
To (name of one defendant only): SEARS, ROE Plaintiff (name of one plaintiff only): KAYLEE IS seeks damages in the above-entitled action, as folk	HII, by and through Successor i	in Interest REUBEN I	SHII
General damages a. Pain, suffering, and inconvenience	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
b. Emotional distress			
c. Loss of consortium			
d. Loss of sociey and companionship (wron			
f. Other (specify)			
2. Special damages			
a. X Medical expenses (to date)		\$	100,000.00
b. Tuture medical expenses (present value))	\$	
c. Loss of earnings (to date)	***************************************	\$	·
d. Loss of future earning capacity (present	value)	····· \$	
e. Property damage		\$ <u></u>	
f. Definition of the following full death action	s only)		
g Future contributions (present value) (wro	ngful death actions only)	\$	
h. Value of personal service, advice, or train	ning (wrongful death actions only)	5	
I. Other (specify)		<u> </u>	
A TOTAL CONTROL OF THE PARTY OF	***************************************	\$	
k. Continued on Attachment 2.k.			
3. X Punitive damages: Plaintiff reserves the ri when pursuing a judgment in the suit filed at Date: 4/28/2010 Kevin L. Elder		rount of (specify) \$	Unknown
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		RE OF PLAINTIFF OR ATTORNEY FO	OR PLAINTIFF)
	(Proof of service on reverse)		Page 1 of 2
orm Adopted for Mandatory Use	STATEMENT OF DAMAGES	Code of Civil Proce	adure, §§ 425.11, 425.115

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge James V. Selna and th	e assigned
discovery Magistrate Judge is Robert N. Block.	

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

SACV10- 832 JVS (RNBx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge
NOTICE TO COUNSEL
copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

	Western Division
h-m-d	312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8
	Los Angeles, CA 90012

[X] Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

Ш	Eastern Division
	3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134
	Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself □) REUBEN ISHII, MAYUMI ISHII, AND KAYDEN ISHII, MINOR, BY AND THROUGH HIS GUARDIAN AD LIT REUBEN ISHII, AND REUBEN ISHII AS SUCCESSOR INTEREST OF KAYLEE ISHII, DECEASED	EM, CORPORATION, FRIGIDAIRE, ELECTROLUX MAJOR					
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are represe yourself, provide same.) Kevin L. Elder, Esq. PENNEY & ASSOCIATES 6536 Lonetree Boulevard Rocklin, CA 95765	Attorneys (If Known) Samuel C. Taylor; Todd Benoff; Elizabeth A. Sperling; Cassandra Hooks ALSTON & BIRD LLP 333 S. Hope St., 16 th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90071 (213) 576-1000 Phone (213) 576-1100 Facsimile Counsel for Defendants Electrolux Home Products, Inc., erroneously sucd herein as Electrolux Major Appliances North America, Electrolux North America, Inc., and Frigidaire; and Sears, Roebuck and Co.					
	CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.)					
I U.S. Government Plaintiff 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party Citize	PTF DEF en of This State PTF DEF 1					
of Parties in Item III)	en of Another State					
	en or Subject of a Foreign Country 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6					
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.) 1 Original 2 Removed from 3 Remanded from Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened 1 Transferred from another district (specify): 6 Multiporty 7 Appeal to District District Judge from Litigation Magistrate Judge						
V. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT; JURY DEMAND: Yes No (Check 'Yes' only if demanded in complaint.) CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.P. 23: Yes No MONEY DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT: \$ Greater than \$75,000.00						
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U. S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.) 15 U.S.C. § 1114, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1)						
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one box only.)						
OTHER SEARCES CONTRACT	RES PRISONER NEL LABOR FOR SELECTION OF THE PRISONER NEL LABOR FOR SELECTION OF THE PRISONER O					
	ALTINITE TO THE STANDARD TO TH					
	lane Product 370 Other Fraud Sentence Habeas 720 Labor/Mgmt.					
450 Commerce/ICC 140 Negotiable Instrument Liab	rility 371 Truth in Lending Corpus Relations					
Rates/etc. 150 Recovery of 320 Assa	nult, Libel & 380 Other Personal 530 General 730 Labor/Mgmt.					
460 Deportation Overpayment & Sland						
470 (debated initiative)	Employers' 385 Property Damage 540 Mandamus/ Disclosure Act Product Liability Other 740 Railway Labor Act					
and Corrupt Judgment Liab Organizations 151 Medicare Act 340 Mari	and the second s					
480 Consumer Credit 152 Recovery of Defaulted 345 Marin	ine Product 22 Appeal 28 USC 555 Prison Condition Litigation					
490 Cable/Sat TV Student Loan (Excl. Liab						
[m] 152 p [m] 255 km	1100 162					
Exchange Overpayment of Prod	huct Liability 620 Other Food & 820 Copyrights					
USC 3410 160 Stockholders' Suits Injur	ry 442 Employment 625 Drug Related 840 Trademark					
	onal Injury- Malpractice					
892 Economic Stabilization Liability 🗵 365 Person	onal Injury- 444 Welfare 881 862 Black Lung (923)					
ACI	luct Liability 445 American with 630 Liquor Laws 863 DIWC/DIWW					
QAD ETIATIDIHIENISTI IAISTELL	Product 403(g))					
995 Freedom of Info Act 270 Foreglosure Liab	ility 446 American with 7 660 Occupational 966 per (4066-)					
900 Appeal of Fee Determi- 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	Disabilities - Safety / Health PROPERATORX STUTS					
nation Under Equal 240 Torts to Land	lication 1440 Other Civil					
243 Tolt Floddet Liability A62 Habe	eas Corpus- Rights or Defendant)					
Statutes Alier 465 Other	n Detainee Timmigration USC 7609					
Actio	ons					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number: SACV10-00832						
AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.						
[2]1124.1						

Case 8:10-cv-00832-JVS -RNB Document 1 Filed 06/11/10 Page 69 of 69 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

	ENTICAL CASES: Has to se number(s):	this action been prev	viously filed in this court and	f dismissed, remanded or closed? 🔲 No 🗌 Yes
	LATED CASES: Have a se number(s):	ny cases been previ	ously filed in this court that	are related to the present case? No Yes
(Check all be	□ B. (□ C. F □ D. I	Arise from the same Call for determination for other reasons we involve the same part	or closely related transaction of the same or substantiallould entail substantial duplic	ns, happenings, or events; or ly related or similar questions of law and fact; or ation of labor if heard by different judges; or and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.
				f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. this box is checked, go to item (b).
County in this District:*				California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country
Orange County, California				
				f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. f this box is checked, go to item (c).
County in t	his District:*			California County outside of this District, State, if other than California, or Foreign Country
				Defendant Electrolux Home Products, Inc. is a citizen of the states of Delaware and Georgia Defendant Sears, Roebuck and Co. is a citizen of the states of New York and Illinois Defendant Sears Holdings Corporation is a citizen of the states of Delaware and Illinois Defendant Frigidaire is a registered trade name of Electrolux whose citizenship is disregarded for purposes of the diversity of citizenship analysis
(c) List the Note: I	County in this District; C n land condemnation ca	California County or ses, use the locatio	ntside of this District; State in the trace of the trace of land invol	Other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.
County in this District:* California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
	ounty, California			
* Los Angel Note: In land	es, Orange, San Bernard d condemnation cases, us	dino, Riverside, Ve the location of the	nture, Sauta Barbara, ord tract of land involved	San Luis Offspo Counties
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PRO PER):				
or other	papers as required by law	CV-71 (JS-44) Civi	I Cover Sheet and the informed by the Judicial Conference	nation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3 -1 is not filed ting the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)
Key to Statistical codes relating to Social Security Cases:				
	Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	Cause of Action
	861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))	
	862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung (30 U.S.C. 923)	g" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969.
	863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured amended; plus all claims fi	workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as iled for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))
	863	DIWW	All claims filed for widow Act, as amended. (42 U.S.	s or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security C. 405(g))
• :	864	SSID	All claims for supplementa Act, as amended.	al security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security
	865	RSI	All claims for retirement (d.S.C. (g))	old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42
1211124.1				